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ABSTRACT. In this paper we study the existence of mild solutions for abstract
partial functional differential equation with state-dependent delay.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this note we study the existence of mild solutions for a second order abstract
Cauchy problem with state dependent delay described in the form

\[ x''(t) = Ax(t) + f(t, x_{\rho(t,t)}), \quad t \in I = [0, a], x_0 = \varphi \in \mathcal{B}, \]
\[ x'(0) = \zeta_0 \in X, \] (1.1)

where \( A \) is the infinitesimal generator of a strongly continuous cosine function of
bounded linear operator \((C(t))t \in \mathbb{R}\) defined on a Banach space \((X, \| \cdot \|)\); the function
\( x_s : (-\infty, 0] \rightarrow X, \quad x_s(\theta) = x(s + \theta) \), belongs to some abstract phase space \( \mathcal{B} \)
described axiomatically and \( f : I \times \mathcal{B} \rightarrow X, \rho : I \times \mathcal{B} \rightarrow (-\infty, a] \) are appropriate
functions.

Functional differential equations with state-dependent delay appear frequently in
applications as model of equations and for this reason the study of this type of equations
has received great attention in the last years. The literature devoted to this subject
is concerned fundamentally with first order functional differential equations for which
the state belong to some finite dimensional space, see among
another works, \([1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 8, 10, 11, 12, 13, 19, 24, 23]\).
The problem of the existence of solutions for first order partial functional differential
equations with state-dependent delay have been treated in the literature recently in \([1, 4, 15, 16]\).
To the best of our knowledge, the existence of solutions for second order abstract partial
functional differential equations with state-dependent delay is an untreated topic in
the literature and this fact is the main motivation of the present work.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we review some basic concepts, notations and properties needed to
establish our results. Throughout this paper, \( A \) is the infinitesimal generator of
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a strongly continuous cosine family \((C(t))t \in \mathbb{R}\) of bounded linear operators on the Banach space \((X, \| \cdot \|)\). We denote by \((S(t))t \in \mathbb{R}\) the associated sine function which is defined by \(S(t)x = \int_0^t C(s)xds\), for \(x \in X\), and \(t \in \mathbb{R}\). In the sequel, \(N\) and \(\tilde{N}\) are positive constants such that \(\|C(t)\| \leq N\) and \(\|S(t)\| \leq \tilde{N}\), for every \(t \in I\).

In this paper, \([D(A)]\) represents the domain of \(A\) endowed with the graph norm given by \(\|x\|_A = \|x\| + \|Ax\|\), \(x \in D(A)\), while \(E\) stands for the space formed by the vectors \(x \in X\) for which \(C(\cdot)x\) is of class \(C^1\) on \(\mathbb{R}\). We know from Kisíłsky [1 8], that \(E\) endowed with the norm

\[
\|x\|_E = \|x\| + \sup_{0 \leq t \leq 1} \|AS(t)x\|, \quad x \in E,  
\]

is a Banach space. The operator - valued function

\[
H(t) = \begin{bmatrix} C(t) & S(t) \\ AS(t) & C(t) \end{bmatrix}
\]

is a strongly continuous group of bounded linear operators on the space \(E \times X\) generated by the operator \(A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & I \\ A & 0 \end{bmatrix}\) defined on \([D(A)] \times E\). It follows from this that \(AS(t) : E \to X\) is a bounded linear operator and that \(AS(t)x \to 0\), as \(t \to 0\), for each \(x \in E\). Furthermore, if \(x : [0, \infty) \to X\) is locally integrable, then \(y(t) = \int_0^t S(t-s)x(s)ds\) defines an \(E\)-valued continuous function. This assertion is a consequence of the fact that

\[
\int_0^t H(t-s) \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ x(s) \end{bmatrix} ds = \left[\int_0^t S(t-s)x(s)ds\right] \int_0^t C(t-s)x(s)ds
\]

defines an \(E \times X\)-valued continuous function. In addition, it follows from the definition of the norm in \(E\) that a function \(u : I \to E\) is continuous if, and only if, \(u\) is continuous with respect to the norm in \(X\) and the set of functions \(\{AS(t)u : t \in [0, 1]\}\) is an equicontinuous subset of \(C(I, X)\).

The existence of solutions for the second - order abstract Cauchy problem

\[
x''(t) = Ax(t) + h(t), \quad t \in I,  
\]

\[
x(0) = w, \quad x'(0) = z,  
\]

where \(h : I \to X\) is an integrable function, is studied in [2 2]. Similarly, the existence of solutions of semi - linear second - order abstract Cauchy problems has been treated in [2 1]. We only mention here that the function \(x(\cdot)\) given by

\[
x(t) = C(t)w + S(t)z + \int_0^t S(t-s)h(s)ds, \quad t \in I,  
\]

is called a mild solution of \((2.2)\) - \((2.3)\), and that when \(w \in E\) the function \(x(\cdot)\) is of class \(C^1\) on \(I\) and

\[
x'(t) = AS(t)w + C(t)z + \int_0^t C(t-s)h(s)ds, \quad t \in I.  
\]

For additional details on the cosine function theory, we refer the reader to [6, 22, 2 1].
In this work we will employ an axiomatic definition for the phase space $B$ which is similar at those introduced in \([17]\). Specifically, $B$ will be a linear space of functions mapping $(-\infty,0]$ into $X$ endowed with a seminorm $\| \cdot \|\ B$ and satisfying the following assumptions:

\begin{itemize}
  \item \vspace{-1.5em}
\end{itemize}
For the function

The phase space

Example 2.1. Let

Remark 2.2. Let

Theorem 2.3. ( Leray Schauder Alternative [7 , Theorem 6.5.4 ] ). Let

The terminology and notation are those generally used in functional analysis. In particular, for Banach spaces \( Z, W \), the notation \( \mathcal{L}(Z, W) \) stands for the Banach space of bounded linear operators from \( Z \) into \( W \) and we abbreviate this notation to \( \mathcal{L}(Z) \) when \( Z = W \). Moreover, \( B_r(x, Z) \) denotes the closed ball with center at \( x \) and radius \( r > 0 \) in \( Z \) and, for a bounded function \( x : [0, a] \to X \) and \( 0 \leq t \leq a \) we employ the notation \( \| x \|_t \) for

\[
\| x \|_t = \sup\{ \| x(s) \| : s \in [0,t] \}.
\]
This paper has four sections. In the next section we establish the existence of mild solutions for the abstract Cauchy problem (1.1) - (1.2). In section 4 some applications are considered.
3. Existence Results

In this section we establish the existence of mild solutions for the abstract Cauchy problem \((1.1) - (1.2)\). To prove our results, we assume that \(\rho : I \times B \to (-\infty, a]\) is a continuous function and that the following conditions are verified.

(H1) The function \(f : I \times B \to X\) satisfies the following properties.

(a) The function \(f(\cdot, \psi) : I \to X\) is strongly measurable for every \(\psi \in B\).

(b) The function \(f(t, \cdot) : B \to X\) is continuous for each \(t \in I\).

(c) There exist an integrable function \(m : I \to [0, \infty)\) and a continuous non-decreasing function \(\lambda : [0, \infty) \to (0, \infty)\) such that

\[
\| f(t, \psi) \| \leq m(t)\| \psi \|_B, \quad (t, \psi) \in I \times B.
\]  

(H2) The function \(t \mapsto \varphi t\) is well defined and continuous from the set \(\mathcal{R}(\rho^-) = \{\rho(s, \psi) : (s, \psi) \in I \times B, \rho(s, \psi) \leq 0\}\) into \(\mathcal{B}\) and there exists a continuous and bounded function \(J^\varphi : \mathcal{R}(\rho) \to (0, \infty)\) such that

\[
\| \varphi t \|_B \leq J^\varphi(t) \| \varphi \|_B
\]

for every \(t \in \mathcal{R}(\rho)\).

Remark 3.1. The condition (H2) is frequently verified by functions continuous and bounded. In fact, if \(B\) verifies axiom \(C_2\) in the nomenclature of [17], then there exists \(L > 0\) such that

\[
\| \varphi \|_B \leq L \sup_{\theta \in \mathbb{Q}} \| \varphi(\theta) \|_B
\]

for every continuous and bounded function \(\varphi \in \mathcal{B} \setminus \{0\}\) and every \(t \leq 0\). We also observe that the space \(C_r \times L^p(g; X)\) verifies axiom \(C_2\), see [17, Proposition 7.1.1] for details.

Motivated by (2.4) we introduce the following concept of mild solutions for the system \((1.1) - (1.2)\).

Definition 3.2. A function \(x : (-\infty, a] \to X\) is called a mild solution of the abstract Cauchy problem \((1.1) - (1.2)\) if \(x_0 = \varphi, x_{\rho(s,x_s)} \in B\) for every \(s \in I\) and

\[
x(t) = C(t)\varphi(0) + S(t)\zeta(0) + \int_0^t S(t-s)f(s, x_{\rho(s,x_s)})ds, \quad t \in I.
\]

In the rest of this paper, \(M_a\) and \(K_a\) are the constants defined by

\[
M_a = \sup_{t \in I} M(t) \text{ and } K_a = \sup_{t \in I} K(t).
\]

Lemma 3.3 ([15, Lemma 2.1]). Let \(x : (-\infty, a] \to X\) be a function such that

Then

\[
x_0 = \varphi \ \text{and} \ x \in PC.
\]

\[
\| x_s \|_B \leq (M_a + J^\varphi) \| \varphi \|_B + K_a \| x(\theta) \|_B + \sup_{\theta \in [0, \max\{0, s\}]}\{\lambda(\theta)\} \}
\]

\[
s \in \mathcal{R}(\rho^-) \cup I, \text{ where } J^\varphi = \sup_{t \in \mathcal{R}(\rho^-)} J^\varphi(t).
\]
Now, we can prove our first existence result. **Theorem 3.4.** Let conditions (H1), (H2) hold and assume that $S(t)$ is compact for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$. If

$$
\tilde{N}K_{\alpha}\lim_{\xi \to \infty} \inf W(\xi) \int_{0}^{a} m(s) ds < 1,
$$

then there exists a mild solution $u(\cdot)$ of (1.1) - (1.2). Moreover, if $\varphi(0) \in E$ then $u \in C^{1}(I, X)$ and condition (1.2) is verified.
Proof. On the space $Y = \{ u \in C(I, X) : u(0) = \varphi(0) \}$ endowed with the uniform convergence topology, we define the operator $\Gamma : Y \to Y$ by

$$
\Gamma x(t) = C(t)\varphi(0) + S(t)\xi 0 + \int_0^t S(t-s)f(s, \bar{x}_{\rho(s,t)} )ds, \quad t \in I,
$$

(3.2)

where $\bar{x} : (-\infty, a] \to X$ is such that $\bar{x}_0 = \varphi$ and $\bar{x} = x$ on $I$. From assumption (A 1) and our assumptions on $\varphi$, we infer that $\Gamma x$ is well defined and continuous.

Let $\bar{\varphi} : (-\infty, a] \to X$ be the extension of $\varphi$ to $(-\infty, a]$ such that $\bar{\varphi}(\theta) = \varphi(0)$ on $I$ and $\bar{\varphi} = \sup \{ \bar{J}(s) : \ s \in R(\rho^-) \}$. We claim that there exists $r > 0$ such that $\Gamma(B_r(\bar{\varphi} | I, Y)) \subseteq B_r(\bar{\varphi} | I, Y)$. If this property is false, then for every $r > 0$ there exist $x^r \in B_r(\bar{\varphi} | I, Y)$ and $t^r \in I$ such that $r < \| \Gamma x^r(t^r) - \varphi(0) \|$. By using Lemma 3.3 we find that

$$
r < \| \Gamma x^r(t^r) - \varphi(0) \|
$$

$$
\leq \| C(t^r)\varphi(0) - \varphi(0) \| + \| S(t)\xi 0 \| + \int_0^{t^r} \| S(t^r-s) \| f(s, \bar{x}_{\rho(s,t^r)} r) \| ds
$$

$$
\leq H(N+1) \| \varphi \| B + \tilde{N} \| \xi 0 \| + \tilde{N} \int_0^{t^r} m(s)W(\| \bar{x}_{\rho(s,t^r)} \|) \| B \| ds
$$

$$
\leq H(N+1) \| \varphi \| B + \tilde{N} \| \xi 0 \| + \tilde{N} \int_0^{t^r} m(s)W((M_a + \tilde{J}^\varpi) \| \varphi \| B + K_a \| \bar{x} \|)ds
$$

$$
\leq H(N+1) \| \varphi \| B + \tilde{N} \| \xi 0 \| + \tilde{N} W((M_a + \tilde{J}^\varpi) \| \varphi \| B + \tilde{K}_a(r + \| \varphi(0) \|)) \int_0^a m(s)ds,
$$

and hence

$$
1 \leq \tilde{N} K_a \liminf_{\xi \to \infty} \frac{W(\xi)}{\xi} \int_0^a m(s)ds,
$$

which is contrary to our assumption.

Let $r > 0$ be such that $\Gamma(B_r(\bar{\varphi} | I, Y)) \subseteq B_r(\bar{\varphi} | I, Y)$. Next, we will prove that $\Gamma$ is completely continuous on $B_r(\bar{\varphi} | I, Y)$. In the sequel, $r^*, r^{**}$ are the numbers defined by $r^* := (M_a + \tilde{J}^\varpi) \| \varphi \| B + \tilde{K}_a(r + \| \varphi(0) \|)$ and $r^{**} := W(r^*) \int_0^a m(s)ds$. Step 1 The set $\{ \Gamma x(t) : x \in B_r(\bar{\varphi} | I, Y) \}$ is relatively compact in $X$ for all $t \in I$.

The case $t = 0$ is obvious. Let $0 < \varepsilon < t \leq a$. Since the function $t \to S(t)$ is Lipschitz, we can select points $0 = t_1 < t_2 \cdots < t_n = t$ such that $\| S(s) - S(s') \| \leq \varepsilon$, if $s, s' \in [t_i, t_{i+1}]$ for some $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n - 1$. If $x \in B_r(\bar{\varphi} | I, Y)$, from Lemma 3.3 it follows that

$$
\| \| x_{\rho(t_{i+1})} - \bar{x} \| \| B \leq r^*
$$

and hence

$$
\| \int_0^T f(s, x_{\rho(s,t)})ds \leq W(r^*) \int_0^a m(s)ds = r^{**}, \quad \tau \in I.
$$

(3.3)
Now, from (3.3) we find that

\[ \Gamma x(t) = C(t)\varphi(0) + S(t)\zeta 0 + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} (S(s) - S(t_i)) f(t - s, x_{\rho(t-s,s-t-s)}) ds + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} S(t_i) \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} f(t - s, x_{\rho(t-s,s-t-s)}) ds \]

\[ \in \{ C(t)\varphi(0) + S(t)\zeta 0 \} + C_{0} + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} S(t_i)B_{e} - (0, X). \]

Thus,

\[ \Gamma(B_{e}(\varphi I, Y))(t) \subseteq C_{e} + K_{e}, \]

where \( K_{e} \) is compact and \( \text{diam} (C_{e}) \leq \varepsilon r^{**} \), which permit us concluding that the set \( \Gamma(B_{e}(\varphi | I, Y))(t) \) is relatively compact in \( X \) since \( \varepsilon \) is arbitrary.

**Step 2** The set of functions \( \Gamma(B_{e}(\varphi | I, Y)) \) is equicontinuous on \( I \).

Let \( 0 < \varepsilon < t < a \) and \( \delta > 0 \) such that \( \| S(s)x - S(s')x \| < \varepsilon \), for every \( s, s' \in I \) with \( |s - s'| \leq \delta \). For \( x \in B_{e}(\varphi | I, Y) \) and \( 0 < |h| < \delta \) such that \( t + h \in I \) we get

\[ \| \Gamma x(t + h) - \Gamma x(t) \| \leq (C(t + h) - C(t)) \varphi(0) \| + \varepsilon \| \zeta 0 \| + \tilde{N} W(r^{*}) \int_{t}^{t+h} m(s) ds \]

\[ + W(r^{*}) \int_{0}^{t} \| (S(t + h - s) - S(t - s)) \| m(s) ds \]

\[ \leq \| (C(t + h) - C(t)) \varphi(0) \| + \varepsilon \| \zeta 0 \| + \tilde{N} W(r^{*}) \int_{t}^{t+h} m(s) ds \]

\[ + W(r^{*})\varepsilon \int_{0}^{a} m(s) ds, \]

which proves that \( \Gamma(B_{e}(\varphi | I, Y)) \) is equicontinuous on \( I \).

Proceeding as in the proof of [15, Theorem 2.2] we can prove that \( \Gamma \) is continuous.

Thus, \( \Gamma \) is completely continuous. Now, from the Schauder Fixed Point Theorem we infer the existence of a mild solution \( u(\cdot) \) for (1.1) - (1.2). The assertion concerning the regularity of \( u(\cdot) \) follows directly from the properties of the space \( E \). The proof is complete. \( \square \)

**Theorem 3.5.** Let conditions (H1), (H2) be satisfied. Suppose that \( S(t) \) is compact for every \( t \in \mathbb{B}, \rho(t, \psi) \leq t \) for every \( (t, \psi) \in I \times B \) and

\[ K_{a}^{N} \int_{0}^{a} m(s) ds < \int_{C}^{\infty} \frac{ds}{W(s)}. \]

where \( C = (K_{a}NH + M_{a} + \tilde{J}^{c}) \| \varphi \| B + K_{a}^{N} \| \zeta 0 \| \) and \( \tilde{J}^{c} = \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} J^{c}(t). \)

Then there exists a mild solution of (1.1) - (1.2). If in addition \( \varphi(0) \in E, \)

then \( u \in C(I, X) \) and condition (1.2) is verified.

**Proof.** For \( u \in Y = C(I, X) \) we define \( \Gamma u \) by (3.2). In order to use Theorem 2.3, next we will shall a priori estimates for the solutions of the integral equation
\[
\| x^\lambda(t) \| \leq NH \| \varphi \| \mathcal{B} + \mathcal{N} \| \zeta_0 \| + \int_0^T \mathcal{N} \| f(s, \lambda \rho(s, (x^\lambda)_s)) \| ds \\
\leq NH \| \varphi \| \mathcal{B} + \mathcal{N} \| \zeta_0 \| + \mathcal{N} \int_0^T m(s) W((M_a + \mathcal{J}^T) \| \varphi \| \mathcal{B} + K_a \| x^\lambda \| s) ds,
\]

since \( \rho(s, (x^\lambda)_s) \leq s \) for all \( s \in I \). Defining \( \xi^\lambda(t) = (M_a + \mathcal{J}^T) \| \varphi \| \mathcal{B} + K_a \| x^\lambda \| t \), we obtain

\[
\xi^\lambda(t) \leq (K_aNH + M_a + \mathcal{J}^T) \| \varphi \| \mathcal{B} + K_a \mathcal{N} \| \zeta_0 \| + K_a \mathcal{N} \int_0^T m(s) W(\xi^\lambda(s)) ds. \quad (3.4)
\]

Denoting by \( \beta(t) \) the right-hand side of (3.4), follows that

\[
\beta^\lambda(t) \leq K_a \mathcal{N} \int_0^t m(s) W(\beta(t)) ds
\]

and hence

\[
\int_{\beta(0)=C}^{\beta(t)} ds \leq K_a \mathcal{N} \int_0^t m(s) ds < \int_C^\infty ds \mathcal{W}(s),
\]

which implies that the set of functions \( \{ \beta(t) : \lambda \in (0,1) \} \) is bounded in \( C(I : \mathbb{R}) \).

This proves that \( \{ x^\lambda : \lambda \in (0,1) \} \) is also bounded in \( C(I, X) \).

Arguing as in the proof of Theorem 3.4 we can prove that \( \Gamma(\cdot) \) is completely continuous, and from Theorem 2.3 we conclude that there exists a mild solution \( u(\cdot) \) for (1.1) - (1.2). Finally, it is clear from the preliminaries that \( u(\cdot) \) is a function in \( C^1(I, X) \) which verifies (1.2) when \( \varphi(0) \in E \). The proof is finished.

### 4. Examples

In this section, we consider some applications of our abstract results.

#### The ordinary case

If \( X = \mathbb{R}^4 \), our results are easily applicable. In fact, in this case the operator \( A \) is a matrix of order \( n \times n \) which generates the cosine function \( C(t) = \cosh (tA^{1/2}) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{t^n}{2^n} A^n \) with associated sine function \( S(t) = A^{-1/2} \sinh (tA^{1/2}) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{t^n}{(2n+1)!} A^n \). We note that the expressions \( \cosh (tA^{1/2}) \) and \( \sinh (t \parallel A \parallel^{1/2}) \) are purely symbolic and do not assume the existence of the square roots of \( A \). It is easy to see that \( C(t), S(t), t \in \mathbb{R} \), are compact operators and that \( \parallel C(t) \parallel \leq \cosh (a \parallel A \parallel^{1/2}) \) and \( \parallel S(t) \parallel \leq \parallel A \parallel^{1/2} \sinh (a \parallel A \parallel^{1/2}) \) for all \( t \in \mathbb{R} \). The next result is a consequence of Theorems 3.4 and 3.4.

**Proposition 4.1.** Assume conditions (H1), (H2). If any of the following conditions is verified,

(a) \( K_a \parallel A \parallel^{1/2} \sinh (a \parallel A \parallel^{1/2}) \lim_{\xi \to \infty} \frac{W(\xi)}{\xi} \int_0^a m(s) ds < 1; \)

(b) \( \rho(t, \psi) \leq t \) for all \( (t, \psi) \in I \times B \) and

\[
K_a \parallel A \parallel^{1/2} \sinh (a \parallel A \parallel^{1/2}) \int_0^a m(s) ds < \int_C^\infty ds \mathcal{W}(s),
\]

where
\[ C = (K_a \cosh(\alpha \parallel A \parallel 1/2)_H + \tilde{J}^\phi) \parallel \varphi \parallel B + K_a \parallel A \parallel 1/2 \sinh(\alpha \parallel A \parallel 1/2) \parallel \zeta_0) ; \]
then there exists a mild solution of (1.1) - (1.2). A partial differential equation with state dependent delay. To complete this section, we discuss the existence of solutions for the partial differential system

$$
\partial^2 u(t, \xi) = \partial \frac{2\partial}{\partial \xi^2} + t^{-\infty}a_1(s-t)u(s-\rho(t))\rho^2(\int_0^s a_2(\theta) \mid u(t, \theta) \mid^2 \, d\theta, \xi)ds \tag{4.1}
$$

for \( t \in I = [0, a], \xi \in [0, \pi] \), subject to the initial conditions

$$
u(t, 0) = u(t, \pi) = 0, \quad t \geq 0, \tag{4.2}
$$

$$
u(\tau, \xi) = \varphi(\tau, \xi), \quad \tau \leq 0, 0 \leq \xi \leq \pi. \tag{4.3}
$$

To apply our abstract results, we consider the spaces \( X \) = \( L^2([0, \pi]); B = C_0 \times L^2(g, X) \) and the operator \( Af = f'' \) with domain

\[ D(A) = \{ x \in X : x'' \in X, x(0) = x(\pi) = 0 \}. \]

It is well-known that \( A \) is the infinitesimal generator of a strongly continuous cosine function \( (C(t))t \in \mathbb{R} \) on \( X \). Furthermore, \( A \) has a discrete spectrum, the eigenvalues are \( -n^2, n \in \mathbb{N} \), with corresponding eigenvectors \( z_n(\theta) = (2^{1/2}) \sin (n\theta) \). In addition, the following properties hold:

\[ \begin{align*}
(a) & \quad \text{The set } \{ z_n : n \in \mathbb{N} \} \text{ is an orthonormal basis of } X. \\
(b) & \quad \text{For } x \in X, C(t)x = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \cos(nt) \langle x, z_n \rangle z_n. \text{ From this expression, it follows that } S(t)x = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sin(n(t)x_z_n), \quad \| C(t) \| = \| S(t) \| \leq 1 \text{ for all } t \in \mathbb{R} \text{ and that } S(t) \text{ is compact for every } t \in \mathbb{R}. \\
(c) & \quad \text{If } \Phi \text{ is the group of translations on } X \text{ defined by } \Phi(t)x(\xi) = \tilde{x}(\xi + t), \text{ where } \tilde{x} \text{ is the extension of } x \text{ with period } 2\pi, \text{ then } C(t) = \frac{1}{t}(\Phi(t) + \Phi(-t)) \text{ and } A = B^2, \text{ where } B \text{ is the generator of } \Phi \text{ and} \\
E & = \{ x \in H^1(0, \pi) : x(0) = x(\pi) = 0 \}, \quad \text{see [6] for details.}
\end{align*} \]

Assume that \( \varphi \in B \), the functions \( a_i : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}, \rho_i : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty), i = 1, 2, \) are continuous, \( a_2(t) \geq 0 \) for all \( t \geq 0 \) and \( L_1 = \left( \int_0^\infty \frac{2^{1/2}(s)}{\rho(t)} \, ds \right)^{1/2} < \infty. \) Under these conditions, we can define the operators \( f : I \times B \to X, \rho : I \times B \to \mathbb{R} \) by

\[ f(\tau, \psi)(\xi) = -\int \infty \infty a_1(s) \psi(s, \xi)ds, \]

\[ \rho(s, \psi) = s - \rho(1)\rho^2(\int_0^\infty a_2(\theta) \mid \psi(0, \xi) \mid^2 \, d\theta), \]

and transform system (4.1) - (4.3) into the abstract Cauchy problem (1.1) - (1.2). Moreover, \( f \) is a continuous linear operator with \( \| f \| \leq L_1, \rho \)

is continuous and \( \rho(t, \psi) \leq s \) for every \( s \in [0, a] \). The next results are consequence of Theorem 3.5 and Remark 3.1.

**Proposition 4.2.** Assume that \( \varphi \) satisfies (H2). Then there exists a mild solution of (4.1) - (4.3).

**Corollary 4.3.** If \( \varphi \) is continuous and bounded, then there exists a mild solution of (4.1) - (4.3).
Acknowledgement. The author want express his gratitude to the anonymous referee for his/her valuable comments and suggestions on the paper.

REFERENCES


[23] Torrejón, Ricardo Positive almost periodic solutions of a state - dependent delay nonlinear in


Departamento de Matemática, Instituto de Ciências Matemáticas de São Carlos, Universidade de São Paulo, Caixa Postal 668, 13560 - 970 São Carlos, SP, Brazil.

E-mail address: lalo@imc.usp.br