

A FIBERING MAP APPROACH TO A SEMILINEAR ELLIPTIC BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEM

KENNETH J . BROWN , TSUNG - FANG WU

ABSTRACT . We prove the existence of at least two positive solutions for the semilinear elliptic boundary - value problem

$$-\Delta u(x) = \lambda a(x)u^q + b(x)u^p \quad \text{for } x \in \Omega; \quad u(x) = 0 \quad \text{for } x \in \partial\Omega$$

on a bounded region Ω by using the Nehari manifold and the fibering maps associated with the Euler functional for the problem . We show how knowledge of the fibering maps for the problem leads to very easy existence proofs .

1 . INTRODUCTION

We shall discuss the existence of positive solutions of the semilinear elliptic boundary - value problem

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta u(x) &= \lambda a(x)u^q + b(x)u^p \quad \text{for } x \in \Omega; \\ u(x) &= 0 \quad \text{for } x \in \partial\Omega, \end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

where Ω is a bounded region with smooth boundary in \mathbb{R}^N , $0 < q < 1 < p < \frac{N+2}{N-2}$, $\lambda > 0$ and $a, b : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are smooth functions which are somewhere positive but which may change sign on Ω . Equation (1 . 1) , (1 . 2) has been recently studied in [3] by using the Mountain Pass Lemma and in [5] and [7] using the Nehari manifold .

In [4] and [2] it was shown that the Nehari manifold for an equation such as (1 . 1) is closely related to the fibering maps for the problem . In this paper we show how a fairly complete knowledge of all possible forms of the fibering maps provides a very simple and comparatively elementary means of establishing results similar to those proved in [5] and [7] on the existence of multiple solutions of (1 . 1) , (1 . 2) . In section 2 we recall the properties which we shall require of fibering maps and of the Nehari manifold . In section 3 we give a fairly complete description of the fibering maps associated with (1 . 1) and in section 4 we use this information to give a very simple variational proof of the existence of at least two positive solutions of (1 . 1) , (1 . 2) for sufficiently small λ .

We shall throughout use the function space $W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$ with norm

$$\| u \| = \left(\int_{\Omega} | \nabla u |^2 dx \right)^{1/2}$$

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification* . 35 J 20 , 36 J 65 .

Key words and phrases . Semilinear elliptic boundary value problem ; variational methods ; Nehari manifold ; fibering map .

circlecopyrt – c2007 Texas State University - San Marcos .

Submitted Febraury 27 , 2007 . Published May 10 , 2007 .

2. FIBERING MAPS AND THE NEHARI MANIFOLD

The Euler functional associated with (1.1), (1.2) is

$$J_\lambda(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2 dx - \frac{\lambda}{q+1} \int_\Omega a(x) |u|^{q+1} dx - p \frac{1}{+1} \int_\Omega b(x) |u|^{p+1} dx$$

for all $u \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$.

As J_λ is not bounded below on $W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$, it is useful to consider the functional on the Nehari manifold

$$M_\lambda(\Omega) = \{u \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega) : \langle J'_\lambda(u), u \rangle = 0\}$$

where \langle, \rangle denotes the usual duality. Thus $u \in M_\lambda(\Omega)$ if and only if

$$\int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2 dx - \lambda \int_\Omega a(x) |u|^{q+1} dx - \int_\Omega b(x) |u|^{p+1} dx = 0 \quad (2.1)$$

Clearly $M_\lambda(\Omega)$ is a much smaller set than $W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$ and, as we shall show, J_λ is much better behaved on $M_\lambda(\Omega)$. In particular, on $M_\lambda(\Omega)$ we have that

$$J_\lambda(u) = \left(\frac{1}{2} - p \frac{1}{q+1} \right) \int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2 dx + \left(\lambda \frac{1}{1+q} - p \frac{1}{1+p} \right) \int_\Omega a(x) |u|^{q+1} dx + \int_\Omega b(x) |u|^{p+1} dx \quad (2.2)$$

Theorem 2.1. J_λ is coercive and bounded below on $M_\lambda(\Omega)$. Proof. It follows from (2.2) and the Sobolev embedding theorems that there exist positive constants c_1, c_2 and c_3 such that

$$J_\lambda(u) \geq c_1 \|u\|^2 - c_2 \int_\Omega |u|^{q+1} dx \geq c_1 \|u\|^2 - c_3 \|u\|^{q+1}$$

and so J_λ is coercive and bounded below on $M_\lambda(\Omega)$. \square

The Nehari manifold is closely linked to the behaviour of the functions of the form $\phi_u : t \rightarrow J_\lambda(tu)$ ($t > 0$). Such maps are known as fibering maps and were introduced by Drabek and Pohozaev in [4] and are also discussed in Brown and Zhang [2]. If $u \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$, we have

$$\phi_u(t) = \frac{1}{2} t^2 \int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2 dx - \lambda \frac{t^{q+1}}{q+1} \int_\Omega a |u|^{q+1} dx - p \frac{t^{p+1}}{p+1} \int_\Omega b |u|^{p+1} dx \quad (2.3)$$

$$\phi'_u(t) = t \int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2 dx - \lambda t^q \int_\Omega a |u|^{q+1} dx - p t^p \int_\Omega b |u|^{p+1} dx \quad (2.4)$$

$$\phi''_u(t) = \int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2 dx - \lambda q t^{q-1} \int_\Omega a |u|^{q+1} dx - p t^{p-1} \int_\Omega b |u|^{p+1} dx \quad (2.5)$$

It is easy to see that $u \in M_\lambda(\Omega)$ if and only if $\phi'_u(1) = 0$ and, more generally, that $\phi'_u(t) = 0$ if and only if $tu \in M_\lambda(\Omega)$, i. e., elements in $M_\lambda(\Omega)$ correspond to stationary points of fibering maps. Thus it is natural to subdivide $M_\lambda(\Omega)$ into sets

$$\begin{aligned} M_{\lambda}^{+}(\Omega) &= \{u \in M_{\lambda}(\Omega) : \phi_u''(1) > 0\}, \\ M_{\lambda}^{-}(\Omega) &= \{u \in M_{\lambda}(\Omega) : \phi_u''(1) < 0\}, \\ M_{\lambda}^0(\Omega) &= \{u \in M_{\lambda}(\Omega) : \phi_u''(1) = 0\}, \end{aligned}$$

and note that if $u \in M_{\lambda}(\Omega)$, i. e. , $\phi_u'(1) = 0$, then

$$\phi_u''(1) = (1 - p^q) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx - \left(\frac{p-q}{\lambda(q-p)} \right) \int_{\Omega} b(x) |u|^{p+1} dx. \quad (2.6)$$

Also , as proved in Binding , Drabek and Huang [1] or in Brown and Zhang [2] , we have the following lemma .

Lemma 2 . 2 . *Suppose that u_0 is a local maximum or minimum for J_{λ} on $M_{\lambda}(\Omega)$.*

Then , if $u_0 \notin M_{\lambda}^0(\Omega)$, u_0 is a critical point of J_{λ} .

3 . ANALYSIS OF THE FIBERING MAPS

In this section we give a fairly complete description of the fibering maps associated with the problem . As we shall see the essential nature of the maps is determined by the signs of $\int_{\Omega} a(x) |u|^{q+1} dx$ and $\int_{\Omega} b(x) |u|^{p+1} dx$. We will find it useful to consider the function

$$m_u(t) = t^{1-q} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx - t^{p-q} \int_{\Omega} b(x) |u|^{p+1} dx.$$

Clearly , for $t > 0, tu \in M_{\lambda}(\Omega)$ if and only if t is a solution of

$$m_u(t) = \lambda \int_{\Omega} a(x) |u|^{q+1} dx. \quad (3.1)$$

Moreover ,

$$m'_u(t) = (1-q)t^{-q} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx - (p-q)t^{p-q-1} \int_{\Omega} b(x) |u|^{p+1} dx. \quad (3.2)$$

It is easy to see that m_u is a strictly increasing function for $t \geq 0$ whenever $\int_{\Omega} b(x) |u|^{p+1} dx \leq 0$ and m_u is initially increasing and eventually decreasing with a single turning point as in Figure 1 (b) when $\int_{\Omega} b(x) |u|^{p+1} dx > 0$.

FIGURE 1 . Possible forms of $m(u)$

Suppose $tu \in M_\lambda(\Omega)$. It follows from (2.6) and (3.2) that $\phi''_{tu}(1) = t^{q+2}m'_u(t)$ and so $tu \in M_\lambda^+(\Omega)(M_\lambda^-(\Omega))$ provided $m'_u(t) > 0(< 0)$.

We shall now describe the nature of the fibering maps for all possible signs of $\int_\Omega b(x) |u|^{p+1} dx$ and $\int_\Omega a(x) |u|^{q+1} dx$. If $\int_\Omega b(x) |u|^{p+1} dx \leq 0$ and $\int_\Omega a(x) |u|^{q+1} dx \leq 0$, clearly ϕ_u is an increasing function of t and so has graph as shown in Figure 2 (a); thus in this case no multiple of u lies in $M_\lambda(\Omega)$. If $\int_\Omega b(x) |u|^{p+1} dx \leq 0$ and $\int_\Omega a(x) |u|^{q+1} dx > 0$, then m_u has graph as in Figure 1 (a), and it is clear that there

is exactly one solution of (3.1). Thus there is a unique value $t(u) > 0$ such that $t(u)u \in M_\lambda(\Omega)$. Clearly $m'_u(t(u)) > 0$ and so $t(u)u \in M_\lambda^+(\Omega)$. Thus the fibering map ϕ_u has a unique critical point at $t = t(u)$ which is a local minimum. Since $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \phi_u(t) = \infty$, it follows that ϕ_u has graph as shown in Figure 2 (c).

Suppose now $\int_\Omega b(x) |u|^{p+1} dx > 0$ and $\int_\Omega a(x) |u|^{q+1} dx \leq 0$. Then m_u has graph

as shown in Figure 1 (b) and it is clear that there is exactly one positive solution of (3.1). Thus there is again a unique value $t(u) > 0$ such that $t(u)u \in M_\lambda(\Omega)$ and since $m'_u(t(u)) < 0$ in this case $t(u)u \in M_\lambda^-(\Omega)$. Hence the fibering map ϕ_u has a unique critical point which is a local maximum. Since $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \phi_u(t) = -\infty$, it follows that ϕ_u has graph as shown in Figure 2 (b).

Finally we consider the case $\int_\Omega b(x) |u|^{p+1} dx > 0$ and $\int_\Omega a(x) |u|^{q+1} dx > 0$ where

the situation is more complicated. As in the previous case m_u has a graph as shown in Figure 1 (b). If $\lambda > 0$ is sufficiently large, (3.1) has no solution and so ϕ_u has no critical points - in this case ϕ_u is a decreasing function. Hence no multiple of u lies in $M_\lambda(\Omega)$. If, on the other hand, $\lambda > 0$ is sufficiently small, there are exactly two solutions $t_1(u) < t_2(u)$ of (3.1) with $m'_u(t_1(u)) > 0$ and $m'_u(t_2(u)) < 0$.

Thus there are exactly two multiples of $u \in M_\lambda(\Omega)$, namely $t_1(u)u \in M_\lambda^+(\Omega)$ and $t_2(u)u \in M_\lambda^-(\Omega)$. It follows that ϕ_u has exactly two critical points - a local minimum at $t = t_1(u)$ and a local maximum at $t = t_2(u)$; moreover ϕ_u is decreasing in $(0, t_1)$, increasing in (t_1, t_2) and decreasing in (t_2, ∞) as in Figure 2 (d).

The following result ensures that when λ is sufficiently small the graph of ϕ_u must be as shown in Figure 2 (d) for all non-zero u .

Lemma 3.1. *There exists $\lambda_1 > 0$ such that, when $\lambda < \lambda_1$, ϕ_u takes on positive*

values for all non-zero $u \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$.

Proof. If $\int_\Omega b(x) |u|^{p+1} dx \leq 0$, then $\phi_u(t) > 0$ for t sufficiently large. Suppose

$$u \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega) \text{ and } \int_\Omega b(x) |u|^{p+1} dx > 0. \text{ Let}$$

$$h_u(t) = \frac{t^2}{2} \int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2 dx - p \frac{t^{p+1}}{p+1} \int_\Omega b(x) |u|^{p+1} dx.$$

Then elementary calculus shows that h_u takes on a maximum value of

$$\frac{p-1}{2(p+1)} \left\{ \frac{(\int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2 dx)^{p+1}}{(\int_\Omega b(x) |u|^{p+1} dx)^2} \right\} \frac{1}{p-1} \quad \text{when } t = t_{\max} = \left(\frac{\int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2 dx}{\int_\Omega b(x) |u|^{p+1} dx} \right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}.$$

However

$$\frac{(\int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2 dx)^{p+1}}{(\int_\Omega |u|^{p+1} dx)^2} \geq \frac{1}{S_{p+1}^{2(p+1)}}$$

where S_{p+1} denotes the Sobolev constant of the embedding of $W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$ into $L^{p+1}(\Omega)$.
Hence

$$h_u(t_{\max}) \geq \frac{p-1}{2(p+1)} \left(\frac{1}{\|b^+\|_\infty^2 S_{p+1}^{2(p+1)}} \right) \frac{1}{p-1} = \delta$$

FIGURE 2 . Possible forms of fibering maps where δ is independent of u .

We shall now show that there exists $\lambda_1 > 0$ such that $\phi_u(t_{\max}) > 0$, i . e . ,

$$h_u(t_{\max}) - \frac{\lambda(t_{\max})^{q+1}}{q+1} \int_{\Omega} a(x) |u|^{q+1} dx > 0$$

for all $u \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega) - \{0\}$ provided $\lambda < \lambda_1$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{(t_{\max})^{q+1}}{q+1} \int_{\Omega} a(x) |u|^{q+1} dx \\ & \leq \frac{1}{q+1} \|a\|_{\infty} S_{q+1}^{q+1} \left(\frac{\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx}{\int_{\Omega} b(x) |u|^{p+1} dx} \right)^{\frac{q+1}{p-1}} \left(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{q+1}{2}} \\ & = \frac{1}{q+1} \|a\|_{\infty} S_{q+1}^{q+1} \left\{ \frac{(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx)^{p+1}}{(\int_{\Omega} b(x) |u|^{p+1} dx)^2} \right\}^{line-parentleft-minus2q_p+1} \\ & = \frac{1}{q+1} \|a\|_{\infty} S_{q+1}^{q+1} \left[\frac{2(p+1)}{p-1} \frac{q+1}{2} h_u(t_{\max}) \frac{q+1}{2} \right] = ch_u(t_{\max}) \frac{q+1}{2} \end{aligned}$$

where c is independent of u . Hence

$$\phi_u(t_{\max}) \geq h_u(t_{\max}) - \lambda ch_u(t_{\max}) \frac{q+1}{2} = h_u(t_{\max}) \frac{q+1}{2} (h_u(t_{\max}) \frac{1-q}{2} - \lambda c)$$

and so , since $h_u(t_{\max}) \geq \delta$ for all $u \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega) - \{0\}$, it follows that $\phi_u(t_{\max}) > 0$ for all non - zero u provided $\lambda < \delta^{\frac{1-q}{2}} / 2c = \lambda_1$. This completes the proof . \square

It follows from the above lemma that when $\lambda < \lambda_1$, $\int_{\Omega} a(x) |u|^{q+1} dx > 0$ and $\int_{\Omega} b(x) |u|^{p+1} dx > 0$ then ϕ_u must have exactly two critical points as discussed in the remarks preceding the lemma .

Thus when $\lambda < \lambda_1$ we have obtained a complete knowledge of the number of critical points of ϕ_u , of the intervals on which ϕ_u is increasing and decreasing and of the multiples of u which lie in $M_\lambda(\Omega)$ for every possible choice of signs of $\int_\Omega b(x) |u|^{p+1} dx$ and $\int_\Omega a(x) |u|^{q+1} dx$. In particular we have the following result .

$$M_\lambda^0(\Omega) = \emptyset \text{ when } 0 < \lambda < \lambda_1. \quad \textbf{Corollary 3.2.}$$

Corollary 3 . 3 . *If $\lambda < \lambda_1$, then there exists $\delta_1 > 0$ such that $J_\lambda(u) \geq \delta_1$ for all*

$$u \in M_\lambda^-(\Omega).$$

Proof . Consider $u \in M_\lambda^-(\Omega)$. Then ϕ_u has a positive global maximum at $t = 1$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \int b(x) |u|^{p+1} dx &> 0. \text{ Thus} \\ J_\lambda(u) &= \phi_u(1) \geq \phi_u(t_{\max}) \\ &\geq h_u(t_{\max}) \frac{q+1}{2} (h_u(t_{\max}) \frac{1-q}{2} - \lambda c) \\ &\geq \delta \frac{q+1}{2} (\delta \frac{1-q}{2} - \lambda c) \end{aligned}$$

and the left hand side is uniformly bounded away from 0 provided that $\lambda < \lambda_1$. \square

4 . EXISTENCE OF POSITIVE SOLUTIONS

In this section using the properties of fibering maps we shall give simple proofs of the existence of two positive solutions , one in $M_\lambda^+(\Omega)$ and one in $M_\lambda^-(\Omega)$. **Theorem 4 . 1 .** *If $\lambda < \lambda_1$, there exists a minimizer of J_λ on $M_\lambda^+(\Omega)$. Proof .* Since J_λ is bounded below on $M_\lambda(\Omega)$ and so on $M_\lambda^+(\Omega)$, there exists a minimizing sequence $\{u_n\} \subseteq M_\lambda^+(\Omega)$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} J_\lambda(u_n) = u \in \inf_{M_\lambda^+(\Omega)} J_\lambda(u).$$

Since J_λ is coercive , $\{u_n\}$ is bounded in $W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$. Thus we may assume , without loss of generality , that $u_n \rightharpoonup u_0$ in $W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$ and $u_n \rightarrow u_0$ in $L^r(\Omega)$ for $1 < r < \frac{2N}{N-2}$. If we choose $u \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$ such that $\int_\Omega a(x) |u|^{q+1} dx > 0$, then the graph of the fibering map ϕ_u must be of one of the forms shown in Figure 2 (c) or (d) and so there exists $t_1(u)$ such that $t_1(u)u \in M_\lambda^+(\Omega)$ and $J_\lambda(t_1(u)u) < 0$. Hence ,

$$\begin{aligned} \inf_{u \in M_\lambda} J_\lambda(u) &< 0. \text{ By (2.2),} \\ J_\lambda(u_n) &= \left(\frac{1}{2} - p \frac{1}{q+1}\right) \int_\Omega |\nabla u_n|^2 dx - \lambda \left(\frac{1}{q+1} - p \frac{1}{q+1}\right) \int_\Omega a(x) |u_n|^{q+1} dx \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$\lambda \left(\frac{1}{q+1} - p \frac{1}{q+1}\right) \int_\Omega a(x) |u_n|^{q+1} dx = \left(\frac{1}{2} - p \frac{1}{q+1}\right) \int_\Omega |\nabla u_n|^2 dx - J_\lambda(u_n).$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, we see that $\int_\Omega a(x) |u_0|^{q+1} dx > 0$.

Suppose $u_n \rightharpoonup u_0$ in $W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$. We shall obtain a contradiction by discussing the fibering map ϕ_{u_0} . Since $\int_{\Omega} a(x) |u_0|^{q+1} dx > 0$, the graph of ϕ_{u_0} must be either of the form shown in Figure 2 (c) or (d). Hence there exists $t_0 > 0$ such that $t_0 u_0 \in M_{\lambda}^+(\Omega)$ and ϕ_{u_0} is decreasing on $(0, t_0)$ with $\phi'_{u_0}(t_0) = 0$.

Since $u_n \rightharpoonup u_0$ in $W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$, $\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_0|^2 dx < \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_n|^2 dx$. Thus, as

$$\phi'_{u_n}(t) = t \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_n|^2 dx - \lambda t^q \int_{\Omega} a(x) |u_n|^{q+1} dx - t^p \int_{\Omega} b(x) |u_n|^{p+1} dx$$

$$\phi'_{u_0}(t) = t \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_0|^2 dx - \lambda t^q \int_{\Omega} a(x) |u_0|^{q+1} dx - t^p \int_{\Omega} b(x) |u_0|^{p+1} dx,$$

it follows that $\phi'_{u_n}(t_0) > 0$ for n sufficiently large. Since $\{u_n\} \subseteq M_{\lambda}^+(\Omega)$, by considering the possible fibering maps it is easy to see that $\phi'_{u_n}(t) < 0$ for $0 < t < 1$ and $\phi'_{u_n}(1) = 0$ for all n . Hence we must have $t_0 > 1$. But $t_0 u_0 \in M_{\lambda}^+(\Omega)$ and so

$$J_{\lambda}(t_0 u_0) < J_{\lambda}(u_0) < \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} J_{\lambda}(u_n) = \inf_{M_{\epsilon_u \lambda}^+(\Omega)} J_{\lambda}(u)$$

and this is a contradiction. Hence $u_n \rightarrow u_0$ in $W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$ and so

$$J_{\lambda}(u_0) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} J_{\lambda}(u_n) = \inf_{M_{\epsilon_u \lambda}^+(\Omega)} J_{\lambda}(u).$$

Thus u_0 is a minimizer for J_{λ} on $M_{\lambda}^+(\Omega)$. \square

Theorem 4.2. *If $\lambda < \lambda_1$, there exists a minimizer of J_{λ} on $M_{\lambda}^-(\Omega)$. Proof.* By Corollary 3.3 we have $J_{\lambda}(u) \geq \delta_1 > 0$ for all $u \in M_{\lambda}^-(\Omega)$ and so $\inf_{u \in M_{\lambda}^-(\Omega)} J_{\lambda}(u) \geq \delta_1$. Hence there exists a minimizing sequence $\{u_n\} \subseteq M_{\lambda}^-(\Omega)$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} J_{\lambda}(u_n) = \inf_{M_{\epsilon_u \lambda}^-(\Omega)} J_{\lambda}(u) > 0.$$

As in the previous proof, since J_{λ} is coercive, $\{u_n\}$ is bounded in $W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$ and we may assume, without loss of generality, that $u_n \rightharpoonup u_0$ in $W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$ and $u_n \rightarrow u_0$ in

$$L^r(\Omega) \text{ for } 1 < r < \frac{2N}{N-2}. \text{ By (2.2)}$$

$$J_{\lambda}(u_n) = \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{q+1}\right) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_n|^2 dx + \left(\frac{1}{q+1} - p\frac{1}{+1}\right) \int_{\Omega} b(x) |u_n|^{p+1} dx$$

and, since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} J_{\lambda}(u_n) > 0$ and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} b(x) |u_n|^{p+1} dx = \int_{\Omega} b(x) |u_0(x)|^{p+1} dx,$$

we must have that $\int_{\Omega} b(x) |u_0(x)|^{p+1} dx > 0$. Hence the fibering map ϕ_{u_0} must have graph as shown in Figure 2 (b) or (d) and so there exists $\hat{t} > 0$ such that

$$\hat{t}_{u_0} \in M_{\lambda}^-(\Omega).$$

Suppose $u_n \rightharpoonup u_0$ in $W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$. Using the facts that

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_0|^2 dx < \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_n|^2 dx$$

8 K. J. BROWN, T. - F. WU EJDE - 27 / 69 and that, since $u_n \in M_\lambda^-(\Omega)$, $J(u_n) \geq J(su_n)$ for all $s \geq 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} J(\hat{t}u_0) &= \frac{1}{2}\hat{t}^2 \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_0|^2 dx - \frac{\lambda \hat{t}^{q+1}}{q+1} \int_{\Omega} a(x) |u_0|^{q+1} dx - p \frac{\hat{t}^{p+1}}{+1} \int_{\Omega} b(x) |u_0|^{p+1} dx \\ &< \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[\frac{1}{2}\hat{t}^2 \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_n|^2 dx - \frac{\lambda \hat{t}^{q+1}}{q+1} \int_{\Omega} a(x) |u_n|^{q+1} dx \right. \\ &\quad \left. - p \frac{\hat{t}^{p+1}}{+1} \int_{\Omega} b(x) |u_n|^{p+1} dx \right] \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} J(\hat{t}u_n) \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} J(u_n) = \inf_{M_{\infty}^-(\Omega)} J_\lambda(u) \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction. Hence $u_n \rightarrow u_0$ in $W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$ and the proof can be completed as in the previous theorem. \square **Corollary 4.3.** Equation (1.1), (1.2) has at least two positive solutions whenever

$$0 < \lambda < \lambda_1.$$

Proof f -period By Theorems 4.1 and 4.2 there exist $u^+ \in M_\lambda(\Omega)$ and $u^- \in M_\lambda^-(\Omega)$

such that $J(u^\pm) = \inf_{u \in M_\lambda} J(u)$ and $J(u^\pm) = \inf_{u \in M_\lambda} J(u)$. Moreover, by Lemma

2.2, u^\pm are critical points of J on $W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$ and hence are weak solutions (and so by standard regularity results classical solutions) of (1.1), (1.2). Finally, by the

Harnack inequality due to Trudinger [6], we obtain that u^\pm are positive solutions of (1.1), (1.2). \square

Acknowledgement. We would like to thank the referee for bringing [5] to our attention and for making the important observation that the fact that $M_\lambda^0(\Omega) = \emptyset$ follows from sufficient knowledge of the fibering maps.

REFERENCES

- [1] P. A. Binding, P. Drabek and Y. X. Huang; *On Neumann boundary value problems for some quasilinear elliptic equations*, Electron. J. Differential Equations, **1997**, 1997, no. 5, 1-11.
- [2] K. J. Brown and Y. Zhang; *The Nehari manifold for a semilinear elliptic problem with a sign changing weight function*, J. Differential Equations, **193**, 2003, 481-499.
- [3] D. G. de Figueiredo, J. P. Gossez and P. Ubilla; *Local superlinearity and sublinearity for indefinite semilinear elliptic problems*, J. Funct. Anal., **199**, 2003, 452-467.
- [4] P. Drabek and S. I. Pohozaev; *Positive solutions for the p -Laplacian: application of the fibering method*, Proc. Royal Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A, **127**, (1997), 703-726.
- [5] Y. Ilyasov; *On non-local existence results for elliptic operators with convex-concave nonlinearities*, Nonlinear Analysis, **61**, 2005, 211-236.
- [6] N. S. Trudinger, *On Harnack type inequalities and their application to quasilinear elliptic equations*, Comm. Pure Applied Math., **20** (1967), 721-747.
- [7] T. - F. Wu, *Multiplicity results for a semilinear elliptic equation involving sign-changing weight function*, to appear in Rocky Mountain J. Math.

KENNETH J. BROWN

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL AND COMPUTER SCIENCES AND THE MAXWELL INSTITUTE, HERIOT-WATT UNIVERSITY, RICCARTON, EDINBURGH EH14 4AS, UK

E-mail address: K. J. Brown@hw.ac.uk

TSUNG - FANG WU DEPARTMENT OF APPLIED MATHEMATICS , NATIONAL UNIVERSITY OF KAOHSIUNG
, KAOHSIUNG 811 ,
TAIWAN

E - mail address : t f w - u @ n u k . e d u . t w