Equivalence factors of a parallel - series system Ammar M. Sarhan, L. Tadj, A. Al-khedhairi and A. Mustafa Abstract. This paper discusses the reliability equivalences of a parallel-series system. It is assumed that the system components are independent and identical. Each has a constant failure rate. We assumed three different method to improve the system. Both the reliability function and the mean time to failure are used to derive two types of reliability equivalence factors. We also obtain the fractiles of the original and improved systems. We illustrate the results obtained with an application example.

M.S.C.2000: 49 J 1 5, 90 B 30.

**Key words**: Constant failure rate, cold, hot duplication, reduction method.

#### 1 Introduction

Operations Research , in its various fields , is concerned with the problem of having a system perform in the best possible way . In reliability theory , one way to improve the performance of a system is to use the redundancy method . There are two main such

#### methods:

- 1. Hot duplication method: in this case, it is assumed that some of the system components are duplicated in parallel.
- 2 . Cold duplication method :  $\,$  in this case , it is assumed that some of the system components are duplicated in parallel via a perfect switch .

Unfortunately, for many different reasons, such as space limitation, high cost, etc, it is not always possible to improve a system by duplicating some or all of its components . For example, satellites and space aircrafts have limited space which doesn't allow component duplication. Also, some microchips are so expansive that manufacturers cannot afford to duplicate them . In such cases where duplication is not possible, the engineer turns to another well - known method in reliability theory, the so - called reduction method. In this method, it is assumed that the failure rates of some of the system components are reduced by a factor  $\rho$ ,  $0 < \rho < 1$ . Now, once the reduction method is adopted, the main problem facing the engineer is to decide to what degree To solve this the failure rate should be decreased in order to improve the system. problem, one can make an equivalence between the reduction method and the duplication method based on some reliability measures. In other words, the design of APPLIED Sciences, Vol. 10, 2008, pp. 219-230.

circlecopyrt-cBalkan Society of Geometers , Geometry Bal $\rm ~a-k_n$  Press 2008 .

the system improved by the reduction method should be equivalent to the design of the system improved by one of the the duplication methods. The comparison of the designs produces the so - called  $re\ lia\ b\ ility\ equivalence\ factors$  .

The concept of the reliability equivalence factors was introduced by R  $\stackrel{\circ}{a}$  de [5]. R  $\mathring{a}$  de [5, 6] applied this concept for the two - component parallel and series systems with independent and identical components whose lifetimes follow the exponential distribution. Sarhan [7] - [10] derived the reliability equivalence factors of other more general systems. The systems studied by Sarhan are the series system [7], a basic series / parallel system [8], a bridge network system [9], the parallel system [10], and a general series - parallel system [ 1 2 ] . All these systems have independent and noniden tical exponential components.

Sarhan and Mustafa [11] introduced different vectors of the reliability equivalence factors of a series system consisting of n independent and non - identical components. The results presented in [11] generalize those given in [7]. Sarhan and Mustafa [11] assumed that the failure rates of the system components are constants and used the reliability function and mean time to failure as performances to derive the reliability equivalences of the system.

In this paper we consider a general parallel - series system and assume that all components are independent and follow the exponential distribution with the same parameter  $\lambda > 0$ . , we computed the reliability function and the mean time to failure (MTTF) of the system. Second, we computed these same reliability measures when the system is improved using the hot and cold duplication methods. Third, we computed these same measures when the system is improved using the reduction method . Finally , we equate the reliability function (MTTF) of the system improved by duplication with the reliability function (MTTF) of the system improved by reduction to get the survival (mean) reliability equivalence factors. These factors can be used by decide to what degree the failure rate of some of the system components the engineer to should be decreased in order to improve the performance of the system without duplicating any component.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. The next section contains the descrip - tion of the system and the derivation of its reliability function and MTTF. Section 3 computes the reliability function and MTTF of the system when it is improved by reduction . Section 4 is divided into two parts. In the first one we obtain the reliabil - ity function and MTTF of the system when it is improved by hot duplication while the second one obtains these same measures when the duplication is cold. In each part the reliability equivalence factors are Also , in each part , the fractiles are computed for comparison with those of the obtained. In Section 5, the results obtained are applied to a specific parallel - series original system. system. Finally, the paper is concluded in Section 6.

#### Parallel - series system

The system of interest to us is depicted in Figure 1. It consists of m modules (sub systems) connected in series, with module i consisting of  $n_i$  components in parallel for  $i=1,2,\cdots,m$ . Such a system is called a parallel - series system, see Kue et al. [2]. Figure 1 . Parallel - series system .

The lives of the components are assumed to be independent and following the expo-nential distribution with the same failure rate  $\lambda$ . Let  $r_{ij}(t)$  be the reliability function of the component j  $(1 \leq j \leq n_i)$  in module i  $(1 \leq i \leq m)$  and let  $R_i(t)$  be the reliability function of module i. That is  $r_{ij}(t) = e^{-\lambda t}$  and

$$R_i(t) = 1 - \prod (1 - e^{-\lambda t})$$

$$i = 1$$

$$= 1 - (1 - e^{-\lambda t})^{n_i}.$$

Since the modules are connected in series, then the reliability function of the system is

$$R(t) = \prod_{i=1}^{m} R_i(t)$$

$$i = 1$$

$$m$$

$$= \prod_{i=1}^{m} [1 - (1 - e^{-\lambda t})^{n_i}]$$

$$i = 1$$

$$= \prod_{i=1}^{i=1} i_{j^n \Sigma}^{-1} \binom{n_i}{j} (-1)^{j+1} e^{-j\lambda t}.$$

$$(2.1)$$

The last equality follows from the binomial expansion . We also derive the MTTF of the system as

MTTF = 
$$\int_0^\infty \prod_{m=1}^{i=1} i_{j^n \Sigma}^{i=1} \binom{n_i}{j} (-1)^{j+1} e^{-j\lambda t} dt.$$
 (2.2)

This integral can be evaluated explicitly for some specific values of m and  $n_i$  but in general it has no closed form. Also of interest to us is the  $\alpha-$  fractile,  $L(\alpha)$ , of the original system, defined by

$$L(\alpha) = \lambda R^{-1}(\alpha),\tag{2.3}$$

where  $R^{-1}$  denotes the inverse of the reliability function. It can be computed by solving the following equation with respect to ( w . r . t .)  $L = L(\alpha)$ 

$$\alpha = \prod_{m}^{i=1} i_{j^{n} \Sigma}^{=1} \begin{pmatrix} n_{i} \\ j \end{pmatrix} (-1)^{j+1} e^{-(n_{i}-j)L}.$$
 (2.4)

In the sequel, for any set A, we will denote its cardinality by |A|. Also, for any sequence  $A_i$  of mutually exclusive sets, we will denote their union by  $\sum_{i=1}^m A_i$ . Fur - thermore, when  $r_i$  components from module i are improved we will use the notation  $(1_{r_1}, \cdots i_{r_i}, \cdots m_{r_m})$  to show the number of components improved in each module of the system.

#### ${f 3} {f Reduction method}$

We are interested in this section in the system when it is improved by reducing the failure rates of some of its components by a factor  $\rho \in (0,1)$ .

Now, let us denote by A the set of system components whose failure rate is reduced and by r their number, so that |A| = r with  $r \le n$ . Since these components may be arbitrarily chosen in the system, we will denote by  $A_i$  the set of  $r_i$  out - of  $n_i$  components from module i whose failure rate is reduced, so that  $|A_i| = r_i$ ,  $(i = r_i)$ 

$$1, \dots, m$$
)and $A = \sum_{i=1}^{m} A_i$  with $r = \sum_{i=1}^{m} r_i$ .

The reliability function  $A_R^{(i)}{}_{,\rho}(t)$  of module  $i,(i=1,\cdot\cdot\cdot,m)$  is now given by

$$A_{R,\rho}^{(i)}(t) = 1 - \prod (1 - e^{-\rho \lambda t}) \prod_{i=1}^{r_i} (1 - e^{-\lambda t})$$

$$i = 1 \quad i = 1$$

$$= 1 - (1 - e^{-\rho \lambda t})^{r_i} (1 - e^{-\lambda t})^{n_i - r_i},$$

from which we immediately have the reliability function of the system improved by reduction

$$R_{A,\rho}(t) = \prod_{i=1}^{m} A_{R,\rho}^{(i)}(t)$$

$$i = 1$$

$$m$$

$$= \prod_{i=1}^{m} [1 - (1 - e^{-\rho \lambda t})^{r_i} (1 - e^{-\lambda t})^{n_i - r_i}]$$

$$i = 1$$

$$= \prod_{m} -\frac{j=0}{[n_i \sum r_i]} i = 1 - \frac{j=0}{[n_i \sum r_i]} i = 1$$

$$+ -\frac{j=1}{n_i \sum r_i} \binom{n_i - r_i}{j} (-1)^{j+k+1} e^{-(j+k\rho)\lambda t}$$

$$+ -\frac{j=1}{n_i \sum r_i} \binom{n_i - r_i}{j} (-1)^{j+1} e^{-j\lambda t}] . \tag{3.1}$$

We now compute the  $\mathrm{MTTF}_{A,\rho}$  of the system improved by improving the set A components by the reduction method as

$$MTTF_{A,\rho} = \int_{0}^{\infty} \prod_{m}^{i=1} -\sum_{n_{i} \sum r_{i}}^{j=0} i_{k^{r} \Sigma}^{i=1} \binom{n_{i} - r_{i}}{j} \binom{r_{i}}{k} (-1)^{j+k+1} e^{-(j+k\rho)\lambda t} + \sum_{n_{i} \sum r_{i}}^{j=1} \binom{n_{i} - r_{i}}{j} \binom{n_{i} - r_{i}}{j} (-1)^{j+1} e^{-j\lambda t} dt.$$
(3.2)

Equivalence factors of a parallel - series system 223 Finally, the  $\alpha$ - fractile  $L = L(\alpha)$  is found by solving the following equation

$$\alpha = \prod_{m}^{i=1} - \sum_{n_{i} \sum r_{i}}^{j=0} i_{k}^{j=1} \binom{n_{i} - r_{i}}{j} \binom{r_{i}}{k} (-1)^{j+k+1} e^{-(j+k\rho)L} + \sum_{n_{i} \sum r_{i}}^{j=1} \binom{n_{i} - r_{i}}{j} \binom{n_{i} - r_{i}}{j} (-1)^{j+1} e^{-jL}.$$
(3.3)

Of course , the expressions giving the  $\mathrm{MTTF}_{A,\rho}$  and the fractiles need to be evaluated numerically since they are both highly nonlinear .

# 4 Duplication methods

We now obtain the reliability measures of the system when it is improved by dupli - cation . We will successively consider below the hot and then the cold duplication methods .

#### 4.1 Hot duplication

We mentioned earlier that hot duplication means that some of the system components are duplicated in parallel. Therefore, let us assume that the system is improved by hot duplicating each of k components in a set B by a redundant identical standby

component, so |B| = k. If we assume that  $k_i$  out - of  $n_i$  components in module i are hot duplicated and if we denote by  $B_i$  the set of these  $k_i$  components, then we have

$$|B_i| = k_i, (i = 1, \dots, m) \text{ and } B = \sum_{i=1}^m B_i.$$

The reliability function  $B_{R,H}^{(i)}(t)$  of module  $i, (i = 1, \dots, m)$  is now given by

$$B_R^{(i)}_{,H}(t) = 1 - \prod_{i=1}^{n_i + r_i} (1 - e^{-\lambda t})$$

$$i = 1$$

$$= 1 - (1 - e^{-\lambda t})^{n_i + r_i},$$

from which we immediately have the reliability function of the system improved by hot duplication

$$R_{B}^{H}(t) = \prod_{i=1}^{m} B_{R,H}^{(i)}(t)$$

$$i = 1$$

$$m$$

$$= \prod_{i=1}^{m} [1 - (1 - e^{-\lambda t})^{n_{i} + r_{i}}]$$

$$i = 1$$

$$= \prod_{m} n_{i} \sum_{j=1}^{m} j_{-1}^{+r_{i}} \binom{n_{i} + r_{i}}{j} (-1)^{j+1} e^{-j\lambda t}.$$

$$(4.1)$$

We now compute the  $\mathrm{MTTF}_{B}^{H}$  of the system improved by improving the set B com -

 $224-Ammar\ M$  . Sarhan , L . Tadj , A . Al - khedhairi and A . Mustafa -ponents by hot duplication method as

$$MTTF_B^H = \int_0^\infty \prod_{m=1}^{i=1} n_i \sum_{j=1}^{i=1} \binom{n_i + r_i}{j} (-1)^{j+1} e^{-j\lambda t} dt,$$
 (4.2)

and the  $\alpha$ - fractile  $L = L(\alpha)$  is found by solving the following equation

$$\alpha = \prod_{i=1}^{i=1} n_i \sum_{j=1}^{i=1} {n_i + r_i \choose j} (-1)^{j+1} e^{-(n_i + r_i - j)L}.$$
(4.3)

Finally , to derive the hot reliability equivalence factor , it suffices to solve the set of two equations  $R_{A,\rho}(t)=\alpha$  and  $R_B^H(t)=\alpha$ .

### 4.2 Cold duplication

We mentioned earlier that cold duplication means that some of the system components are duplicated in parallel via a perfect switch . Therefore , let us assume that the system is improved by cold duplicating each of k components in a set B by a redundant identical standby component via a perfect switch , so |B| = k. If we assume that  $k_i$  out - of  $n_i$  components in module i are cold duplicated and if we denote by  $B_i$  the set

of these  $k_i$  components, then we have  $|B_i| = k_i, (i = 1, \dots, m)$  and  $B = \sum_{i=1}^m B_i$ .

The reliability function  $B_{R,C}^{(i)}(t)$  of module  $i, (i=1,\cdots,m)$  is now given by

$$B_{R,C}^{(i)}(t) = 1 - [1 - (1 + \lambda t)e^{-\lambda t}]^{r_i} (1 - e^{-\lambda t})^{n_i - r_i},$$

from which we immediately have the reliability function of the system improved by cold duplication

$$R_{B}^{C}(t) = \prod B_{R}^{(i)}, C(t)$$

$$i = 1$$

$$m$$

$$= \prod \{1 - [1 - (1 + \lambda t)e^{-\lambda t}]^{r_{i}} (1 - e^{-\lambda t})^{n_{i} - r_{i}}\}$$

$$i = 1$$

$$= \prod_{m} -\sum_{n_{i} \sum r_{i}} i = 1$$

$$= \prod_{m} -\sum_{n_{i} \sum r_{i}} i = 1$$

$$+ -\sum_{n_{i} \sum r_{i}} \binom{n_{i} - r_{i}}{j} \binom{n_{i} - r_{i}}{j} \binom{n_{i} - r_{i}}{j} (-1)^{j+1} e^{-j\lambda t}.$$

$$(4.4)$$

We now compute the  $\mathrm{MTTF}_B^C$  of the system improved by improving the set B com - ponents according to the cold duplication method as

$$MTTF_{B}^{C} = \int_{0}^{\infty} \prod_{m}^{i=1} -\sum_{[n_{i} \sum r_{i}}^{j=0} \sum_{k=1}^{i=1} \binom{n_{i} - r_{i}}{j} \binom{r_{i}}{k} \binom{k}{\ell} (-1)^{j+k+1} (\lambda t)^{\ell} e^{-(j+k)\lambda t}$$

$$(4.5) + -\sum_{n_{i} \sum r_{i}}^{j=1} \binom{n_{i} - r_{i}}{j} (-1)^{j+1} e^{-j\lambda t} dt,$$

Equivalence factors of a parallel - series system 225 and the  $\alpha$ - fractile  $L = L(\alpha)$  is found by solving the following equation

$$\alpha = \prod_{m}^{i=1} -\sum_{n_{i} \sum r_{i}}^{j=0} \sum_{k=1}^{i=1} \sum_{k=1}^{\ell=0} {n_{i} - r_{i} \choose j} {r_{i} \choose k} {r_{i} \choose \ell} (-1)^{j+k+1} (\lambda t)^{\ell} e^{-(j+k)L} + \sum_{n_{i} \sum r_{i}}^{j=1} {n_{i} - r_{i} \choose j} (-1)^{j+1} e^{-jL} \right].$$
(4.6)

Finally, to derive the cold reliability equivalence factor, it suffices to solve the set of two equations  $R_{A,\rho}(t) = \alpha$  and  $R_B^C(t) = \alpha$ .

# 5 Application

Let us consider in this section the parallel - series system consisting of two modules in series (m=2) and assume that the first module has two components in parallel  $(n_1=2)$  while the second module has three components in parallel  $(n_2=3)$ . The total number of components is n=5. The MTTF of the system is 1 . 5 . Figures 2 and 3 show the MTTF of the system improved by improving some sets of components according to the reduction method by the factor  $\rho, 0 < \rho < 1$ . It seems from these two figures that :

- 1. MTTF<sub>A, $\rho$ </sub> decreases with increasing  $\rho$  for all possible sets A.
- 2 . Reducing the failure rate of one component from the module 1 gives a better system than that system improved by reducing the failure rate of one component from the module 2, see figure 1.
- 3. Reducing the failure rates of two components , one from each module , gives a better system than that system improved by reducing the failure rate of two components from the same module , see figures 1 and 2 .
- 4 . Reducing the failure rates of all components gives the best system , see figure 2 . One could conclude , for the system considered in this section which consists of two modules , that :  $\phantom{0}$  ( 1 ) improving one component from the module with a smaller number of components gives a better system than that system improved when the component improved belongs to the module with a larger number of components ; ( 2 ) improving an even number of components selected from the two modules , with equal numbers , produces a better system than the system improved by improving the number of components selected from the same module or selected from the two modules with unequal numbers .

Ammar M . Sarhan , L . Tadj , A . Al - khedhairi and A . Mustafa

 ${\bf Figure~2}$  . The MTTF of the system improved according to reduction method .

Figure 3 . The MTTF of the system improved according to reduction method . Table 1 : The MTTF of the improved systems .

$$\{1_1,0_2\} \quad \{0_1,1_2\} \quad \{2_1,0_2\} \quad \{1_1,1_2\} \quad \{0_1,2_2\} \quad \{2_1,3_2\}$$

Hot  $1 \cdot 2 \cdot 1 \cdot 67 = 1 \cdot 1 \cdot 333 = 1 \cdot 3238 = 1 \cdot 3238 = 1 \cdot 1 \cdot 905 = 1 \cdot 6044$  Cold  $1 \cdot 3433 = 1 \cdot 2044 = 1 \cdot 6033 = 1 \cdot 4922 = 1 \cdot 2885 = 2 \cdot 1 \cdot 547$  It seems from the results given in Table 1 that

$$\begin{array}{lll} MTTF^D\{_{0_1,1_2\}} & < & MTTF^D\{_{0_1,2_2\}} & < & MTTF^D\{_{1_1,0_2\}} < \\ MTTF^D\{_{2_1,0_2\}} & \leq & MTTF^D\{_{1_1,1_2\}} & < & MTTF^D\{_{2_1,3_2\}}, \end{array}$$

where D = H( for hot ), C( for cold ) , and

226

$$MTTF_{B}^{H} < MTTF_{B}^{C}, \quad \forall B.$$

Equivalence factors of a parallel - series system 227

This means that : (1) as it was expected , the cold duplication method provides a better improved system than the hot duplication method; (2) improving components from the module with smaller number of components gives a better improved system than the system improved by improving the same number of components belonging to the module containing a larger number of components .

Tables 2 and 3 present the hot  $\,$  and cold reliability equivalence factors when one component from module 1 is improved by the reduction method and hot and cold duplications of different possible components .

Table 2: The values of  $\rho_{i_1,j_2}^H$ ,  $i \in \{0,1,2\}$  and  $j \in \{0,1,2,3\}$ .

```
comma - comm
                                                                                                                                                  0\ .\ 561\ 2
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             0.6692
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         0.7472
                           0.1
                                                         0.7032
                                                                                                    0.7901
                                                                                                                                                                                                0.5612
                            0.2
                                                                                                                                                      0.4927
                                                          0.6514
                                                                                                           0.7624
                                                                                                                                                                                                  0.4927
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             0\ .\ 6295
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       0.7072
                                                           0.6097
                                                                                                        0.7440
                                                                                                                                                    0.4399
                                                                                                                                                                                               0.4399
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         0.6047
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     0.6750
                                                         0.5712
                                                                                                       0.7309
                                                                                                                                                  0.3931
                                                                                                                                                                                                0.3931
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             0.5886
                           0.4
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         0.6453
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     0.6156
                          0.5
                                                       0.5332
                                                                                                   0.7219
                                                                                                                                                   0.3486
                                                                                                                                                                                               0.3486
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         0.5799
                             0.6
                                                         0.4932
                                                                                                      0.7176
                                                                                                                                                    0.3040
                                                                                                                                                                                                0.3040
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           0.5798
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      0.5839
                           0.7
                                                                                                    0\ .\ 71\ 97
                                                                                                                                                  0\ .\ 2570
                                                                                                                                                                                              0.2570
                                                         0.4487
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        0.5918
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        0.5480
                             0.8
                                                       0.3951 0.7329
                                                                                                                                                  0.2045
                                                                                                                                                                                                0.2045
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          0.6242
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      0.5034
                                                    0 . 0 1 0 0 . 771 7 0 . 1 39 1 0 . 1 39 1 0 . 6996 0 Table 3 : The values of \rho^C_{i_1,j_2}, i \in \{0,1,2\} and j \in \{0,1,2,3\}.
                       0.9
                                                                                                                                                                                                0.1391 0.6996 0.4376
```

 $comma - comma - comma - comma - comma^{C - C - C - C_{\alpha}} \quad {}^{\rho_1}10_2 \quad \rho_{0_1}1_2 \quad \rho_{2_1}0_2 \quad \rho_{1_1}1_2 \quad \rho_{0_1}2_2 \quad \rho_{2_1}3_2$ 0.5656 0.1 0.4854 0.6067 0.3304 0.2466 0.4562 0.5299 0.2 0.4395 0.58960.27460.18900.4334 0.3 0.4045 0.58240.23480.14730.42620.50240.40.3736 0.58130.20170.11200.42900.4777 0.50.4414 0.45350.3440  $0\ .\ 5860$  $0\;.\;1\;71\;8$ 0.8000.14360.494 0.31390.59750.4651 0.4283 0.7 0.28150.61850.11570.01900.5043 0.4002 0.56770.24370.65560.867-0.0120.36580.8 0.19280.6760 0.90.7264 0.539 - 0 . 46 0.3159

We computed the  $\alpha-$  fractiles of the original system and the system improved by the hot and cold duplications . Table 4 gives the fractiles of the original system . Tables 5 and 6 give the fractiles of the system improved by the hot and cold duplications , respectively .

```
Table 4 : The fractile of the original system . \alpha \quad 0.1 \quad 0.2 \quad 0.3 \quad 0.4 \quad 0.5 \quad 0.6 \quad 0.7 \quad 0.8 \quad 0.9 L(\alpha) \quad 1.9358 \quad 1.5314 \quad 1.2770 \quad 1.826 \quad 0.9190 \quad 0.7721 \quad 0.6324 \quad 0.4908 \quad 0.3310
```

228 Ammar M . Sarhan , L . Tadj , A . Al - khedhairi and A . Mustafa Table 5 : The fractile  $L^H_{i_1,j_2}, i\in\{0,1,2\}$  and  $j\in\{0,1,2,3\}$ .

```
comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-l_{10_2}^{H-H-H-H_{\alpha}} \quad {}^{L_1}L_{0_1}1_2 \quad L_{2_1}0_2 \quad L_{1_1}1_2 \quad L_{0_1}2_2 \quad L_{2_1}3_2 \quad L_{2_1}1_2 \quad L_{2_2}1_2 \quad L_{2_1}1_2 \quad L_{2_2}1_2 \quad L_{2_
                                   0.1
                                                                      2.1285
                                                                                                                             2.619
                                                                                                                                                                                   2\ .\ 262\ 1
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          2\ .\ 262\ 1
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                2.1575
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  2.5908
                                       0.2
                                                                                                                              1.6477
                                                                                                                                                                                  1.8466
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         1.8466
                                                                     1 . 71 87
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                2.1655
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             1.7343
                                                                                                                               1.3842
                                                                                                                                                                                   1.5820
                                       0.3
                                                                          1.4593
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       1.5820
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           1.4624
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              1.8917
                                                                          1.2599
                                                                                                                                 1.1807
                                                                                                                                                                                        1.3773
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          1.3773
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               1.2503
                                                                                                                                     1.74
                                                                                                                                                                                     1.2027
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        1.2027
                                                0.5 1.910
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            1.679
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          1.4930
                                                                                                                                                                                          1 . 434
                                               0.6 0.9380
                                                                                                                                       0.8498
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          1 . 434
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         0.9003
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            1.3222
                                                                                                                                    0.6979
                                                                       0.7911
                                                                                                                                                                                        0.8890
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           0.8890
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               0.7370
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   1.1539
                                                                          0.6398
                                                                                                                              0.5414
                                                                                                                                                                                  0.7281
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          0.7281
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                0.5677
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    0.9749
                                       0.8
                                        0.90.4646
                                                                                                                                0.3621
                                                                                                                                                                                  0.5383
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           0.5383
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              0.3739
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  0.7568
                                                                      Table 6: The fractile L_{i_1,j_2}^C, i \in \{0,1,2\} and j \in \{0,1,2,3\}.
```

comma - comm2.34892.5716 $2\ .\ 7240$ 2.38593 . 4226 0.1 2.21550.2 1.8985 1.7643 2.980  $2\ .\ 231\ 7$ 1.90482.8898 1.61271.4767 1.7940 1.91651.5936 2.5415 1.2543 1.67191.3927 1.5577 1.3505 2.2662 1.2061 1.650 1.35551.46260.51.14191.1707 1.369 0.8932 1.27130.95151.8026 0.8744 0.72820.9914 1.853 0.7685 1.5803 0.8 0.70700.55960 . 8050 0 . 891 2 0.58301.3416 0.5131 0.3694 0.5866 0.6614 0.3789 1.477 0.9Table 7: MREF when reducing one component from module 1 for hot and cold

duplications.

Reduction of the failure rate of 1 comp from module 1 .

```
 comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-comma-com
```

1 709  $\,$  0 . 5886  $\,$  0 . 6000  $\,$  0 . 3406  $\,$  0 . 78 1 7 Reduction of the failure rate of 5 comp . and cold duplication .

```
H-H-H_{\xi_{1_{1},0_{2}}}\quad _{\xi0_{1},1_{2}}\quad _{\xi_{2_{1},0_{2}}}\quad _{\xi_{1_{1},1_{2}}}\quad _{\xi0_{1},2_{2}}\quad _{\xi2_{1},3_{2}}
```

Hot 0.1443 - 0.823 0.3095 0.4411 - 1.01752 e - 6 0.6545Cold -0.1409 - 0.3367 7.45927 e - 8 0.2847 1.90735 e - 7 0.4873According to the results shown in Tables 2 - 7, one can see that:

- 1. Hot duplication of one component belonging to module 1 increases L(0.1) from 1 . 9358 to 2 . 1 285 . The same increase can be reached by reducing the failure rate of one component belonging to the same module by the factor  $\rho_{1_1,0_2}^H(0.1)=0$  . 7032 .
- 2 . Cold duplication of one component belonging to module 1 increases L(0.1) from 1 . 9358 to 2 . 3489 . The same increase can be reached by reducing the failure rate of one component belonging to the same module by the factor  $\rho^C_{1_1,0_2}(0.1)=0$  . 4854 .

Hot duplication of one component belonging to module 1 increases the system mean t ime to failure from 1 . 5 to 1 . 2 1 67 . The same increase can be reached by reducing the failure rate of one component belonging to the same module by

the factor 
$$\xi_{1,0_0}^H = 0.5897$$
.

the factor  $\xi^H_{1_1,0_2}=0.5897$ . Cold duplication of one component belonging to module 1 increases the system mean t ime to failure from 1 . 5 to 1 . 3433 . The same increase can be reached by reducing the failure rate of one component belonging to the same module by

the factor 
$$\xi_{1_1,0_2}^C = 0.429$$
.

the factor  $\xi^C_{1_1,0_2}=0.429$ . The negative values in Table 3 mean that the reliability function of the system improved by reducing the failure rate of one component can not be increased to be equal to the reliability function of that design obtained by cold duplication

$$method_{0.8,itis}. As_{not} an example possible_{to reduce}^{,\rho C_{\{1}} 1, 12\}^{(0} the_{failure}^{.8)} = -0.012 \underset{rate of \, l \, component \, belonging}{that @the \, level \alpha} = tolerange for the example possible_{to reduce}^{,\rho C_{\{1\}}} 1, 12$$

module 1 in order to obtain an improved system which can be obtained by cold duplicating two components one of them belonging to module 1 and the second one belonging to module 2.

The negative values in Table 7 mean that it is not possible to make equivalence between the system improved by the reduction method and the system improved

by the duplication methods . For example ,  $\xi_{1_1,0_2}^H=-0.1409$  means that it is not possible to reduce the failure rate of one component belonging to module 1 to get an improved system which has the mean time to failure equal to the mean time to failure of the system improved by cold duplicating one component belonging to module 1.

In a similar way, one can read the rest of the results shown in Tables 2 - 7.

## Conclusion

In this paper, we discussed the reliability equivalences of a parallel - series system with independent and identical components . We assumed that each component had a constant failure We also considered three ways, namely the reduction, hod du-plication and cold duplication methods, to improve the system. We used both the reliability function and the mean time to failure to derive two types of reliability equiv - alence factors. the original and improved systems are also obtained. For illustrative purpose, example is presented. The results discussed

in this paper can be extended to include the following cases: (1) more complicated systems with independent and identical or non - identical components; ( 2 ) simple sys tems with non - independent and identical components; (3) systems with non - constant failure rate components. We believe that the cases when the components have non - constant failure rates will be more complicated. Another problem of interest to OR researchers would be to determine the optimal number of components to duplicate in the duplication methods and the optimal number of components whose failure rate is to be reduced in the reduction method.

# References

- $[\ 1\ ]$  R . Billinton and R . Allan , Reliability Evaluation of Engineering Systems : Con cepts and Techniques , Plenum Press , New York and London , 1 983 .
- [2] W. Kue, V. R. Parsad, F. A. Tillman and C. Hwang, *Optimal Reliability Design: Fundamentals and Applications*, Cambridge University Press, 2001.
- [3] L. M. Leemis, *Reliability Probabilistic Models and Statistical Methods*, Prentice Hall, Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey 7632, 1 996.
- [ 4 ] E . E . Lewis ,  $\$  Introduction to Reliability Engineering ,  $\$  John Wiley & Sons , Inc . , New York , 2 nd ed . 1 996 .
- $[\ 5\ ]$  L . R å de , Reliability equivalence , Microelectrons Reliability , 33 (  $1\ 993\ a$  ) , 323 325 .  $[\ 6\ ]$  L . R å de , Reliability survival equivalence , Microelectrons Reliability , 33 (  $1\ 993\ b$  ) , 881 894 .
- $nents\ s\ eries\ systems$  , Reliability Engineering & System Safety , 67 ( 2000 ) , 293 300
- $\left[\ 8\ \right]\quad A$  . M . Sarhan , Reliability equivalence with a basic s eries / parallel system  $\ \ ,$  Applied
  - Mathematics and Computation, 1 32 (2002), 1 1 5 1 33.
- $\left[\ 9\ \right]$   $\,$  A  $\,$  . M  $\,$  . Sarhan  $\,$  , Reliability equivalence factors of a bridge network system  $\,$  ,  $\,$  Inter -

Inter national Journal of Reliability and Applications , 2 ( 2004 ) , 8 1 - 1 3 . [ 1 0 ] A . M .
Sarhan , Reliability equivalence factors of a parallel system , Reliability
Engineering & System Safety , 87 ( 2005 ) , 405 - 4 1 1 . [ 1 1 ] A . M . Sarhan and A .
Mustafa , Reliability equivalences of a s eries system con - s is ts of n independent and non - identical components , International Journal of Reliability and Applications , 7 ( 2006 ) ,
1 1 - 1 25 . [ 1 2 ] A . M . Sarhan , Reliability equivalence factors of a g eneral s eries -

(submitted).

### Authors 'addresses :

parallel system

Ammar M . Sarhan , Current Address : King Saud University , College of Science , Dept . of Statistics and Operations Research , P . O . Box 2455 , Riyadh 1 1 451 , Saudi Arabia . Permanent address : Mansoura University , Faculty of Science , Department of Mathematics , Mansoura 355 1 6 , Egypt . E - mail : asarhan @ ksu . edu . sa

Lotfi Tadj , A . Al - khedhairi King Saud University , College of Science , Dept . of Statistics and Operations Research , P . O . Box 2455 , Riyadh 1 1 451 , Saudi Arabia . E - mail : lotftadj @ ksu . edu . sa , akhediri @ ksu . edu . sa

A . Mustafa

Mansoura University , Faculty of Science , Department of Mathematics , Mansoura  $355\ 1\ 6$  , Egypt . E - mail : amelsayed @ mans . edu . eg