

CHANCE CONSTRAINED BOTTLENECK TRANSPORTATION PROBLEM WITH PREFERENCE OF ROUTES

YUE GE, MINGHAO CHEN AND HIROAKI ISHI

This paper considers a variant of the bottleneck transportation problem. For each supply demand point pair, the transport time is given and the demand is random variable. Preference of each route is attached. Our model has two criteria namely minimize the transportation time subject to a chance constraint and maximize, among the minimum preference among the used routes. Since usually a transportation pattern does not exist, we define non-dominated transportation patterns. We then propose an algorithm to find some non-dominated transportation patterns. Finally, a numerical example is presented to illustrate how our algorithm works.

Keywords: bottleneck transportation, random transportation time, chance constraint

preference of routes, non-dominated transportation

Classification : 90 C 35, 90 C 15, 90 C 70, 68 Q 25

1. INTRODUCTION

The classical transportation problem is defined by minimizing transportation costs while meeting a set of demands from a set of suppliers. It is also known as the cost minimizing transportation problem. In this case, it is extensively studied in the literature and several algorithms have been proposed [6, 7, 1, 2, 1, 417].

Another well-studied variant of the classical transportation problem is the bottleneck transportation problem, which determines a single bottleneck time blm_i^e for all routes. This problem is known as the time minimizing transportation problem. Similarly, many efficient algorithms have been proposed by Garfinkel and Rao [8], Hammer [16], Srinivasa [18] and Szwarc [19].

Thompson [18] and Szwarc [19] proposed algorithms for solving the bottleneck transportation problem. The stochastic bottleneck transportation problem has been studied by many researchers [15]. The stochastic bottleneck transportation problem is considered as a constrained optimization problem [13]. Recently, Adeyemi and Lusanda [1] presented the main principle of multi-objective stochastic transportation problems, which consider the stochastic bottleneck transportation problem. Geetha and Nair [10] presented a single criterion stochastic bottleneck transportation problem with random parameters.

dom transportation cost. Be si des, Chen et al [5] st - u de - i d a fuz z - y t a - r nsportatio nprobl e - m with preference of routes.

The model considered in th i - s paper s - i an e x t - e ns o - i n of thes p reviou model s We extend the bottleneck transporta t i - o n pro b e - l m by con s i - d er n - i g r - a n e dmnes o ftranspor tation time and preference of route. Randomne s - s mea n h - t a th t r - a nsportatio ntim ema change according to many factors. The pre - fr - ee - n ce of o - r ut reflect th degre o satis faction with respect to the chosen route. So t - w o criter a - i a r t - a k n - esint accoun t fOn i to minimize the transportation t ime target su b^{j-e} c o - t a c h - a nc o - c nstra^o in t T h othe ri to maximize the minimal preference among t - he u e - s d r - o utes B u usuall a transportation pattern optimizing two objec t i - v es simu laneo us y - 1 d oe n o exis t S we see som non - dominated transportation patterns

The rest of this paper is organ i - z ed as fol o - l w s O u p r - o b e - l m i fo r - m ulat d - e i - n Sectio n 2 and then in Section 3 we present an effic i - e nt a g - l ori t - h_m o - tfi n - d som n on - dominate transportation patterns. Section 4 shows how o u a l - g ori h - t_m w ork u esin a numerica example. Finally , Section 5 concludes t h i - s paper and di c - s usse furthe rresearc hproblems

2 . PROBLEM FORMULATION

In this paper , we consider the fo l - l_{own-ig} bi hyphen - c ri e - t r i - a bo ttlene k - c t r - a nsportati n - o probl e - m with randomness of transportation time and p r - e fe e - r n - c e of o - r ut e

(C 1) There exist m supply points $\{S_1, \dots, S_m\}$ and n demand - d p oint $\{T_1, \dots, T_n\}$ Th total upper limit provided from each supp l - y p oin es_S i a a d - n th tota llowe rlimi to each demand point T_j i - s jb. Furthe r we as u - s me t - h a thes a_i jb ar positiv

$$\text{integers and } \sum_{i=1}^m a_i \geq \sum_{j=1}^n b_j.$$

(C 2) Let (i, j) denote the route from supp l - y p oin S o - t dma n - d p oin T_j = 1, ..., m $j = 1, \dots, n$. Preference of route i - s attac e - h d and i i as s - u m d - e t be measure b

a real number μ_{ij} between 0 and 1 . T h i - s number e - rtlect th degre o fsatisfact d io with respect to the chosen route. The val - ue $\mu_{ij} = 1$ corresp^{n-o} d t complet sat isfaction , while $\mu_{ij} = 0$ corresponds to comp le t - e dissatisfactio n sFo intermediat numbers , a higher value corresponds to a h i - g he de gre of satisfactio n

(C 3) For each route (i, j) , the transporta t i - o n tme t_{ij} i an n - i dep^{e-n} den tr n - a d o - m variabl

according^{to} Wedenote athenormaldi - stributransportation_{quan} t o - i n $N_{ti-t_y}(m_{ij,usn-ig}^{\sigma^2_{ij}})$ wt - he i h - t_{r-o}muta - en_(i, j^{mij}) that these x_{ij} are nonnegative decis i - o n v ar a - i ble s The followin chanc constrain is attached :

$$\Pr\{t_{ij} \leq f\} \geq \alpha \quad (i, j) \mid x_{ij} > 0 \quad (1)$$

where $\alpha > 0.5$ and f is also a decis i - o n v ar a - i b l - e d n - e ot i - n g th targe o bottlenec transportation time to be minim i - z ed

(C 4) We consider two criteria : one i - s to ma xm z - i e th min i - m a preferenc amon th used routes and the other i - s to minm z - i e f

Under the above setting , our chance constraint is to find the best route for each node k to minimize transportation cost.

with preference of routes can be formulated as follows

$$\text{TP : } \text{minimize } f$$

$$\text{maximize} \quad \min_{i \neq j} \{\mu_{j-i} \mid x_{ij} > 0\}$$

$$\text{subject to} \quad \Pr \{ti - j \leq f\} \geq \alpha \quad (ij) \mid x_{ij} > 0 \quad i = 1, \dots, m; j = 1, \dots, n$$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^n x_{ij} &\leq ai, \quad i = 1, \dots, m \\ \sum_{i=1}^m x_{ij} &\geq b_j, \quad j = 1, \dots, n \end{aligned}$$

$$x_{ij} : \text{nonnegative integer} \quad i = 1, \dots, m; j = 1, \dots, n$$

In order to solve problem TP , first we introduce the following using quadratic programming formulations .

The chance constraint (1) reduces to

$$F\left(\frac{f - m_{ij}}{\sigma_{ij}}\right) \geq \alpha \quad (ij) \mid x_{ij} > 0$$

where $F(\cdot)$ is the cumulative distribution function of the standard normal random variable $N(0,1)$. That is ,

$$\begin{aligned} (1) \iff & \frac{f - m_{ij}}{\sigma_{ij}} \geq K_\alpha \quad (ij) \mid x_{ij} > 0 \\ \iff & f \geq m_{ij} + K_\alpha \sigma_{ij} \quad (ij) \mid x_{ij} > 0 \\ & \text{where } K_\alpha = F^{-1}(\alpha). \end{aligned}$$

Since f should be minimized , then problem TP becomes to

$$\text{P : } \text{minimize} \quad \max_{i \neq j} \{m_{ij} + K_\alpha \sigma_{ij} \mid x_{ij} > 0\}$$

$$\text{maximize} \quad \min_{i \neq j} \{\mu_{j-i} \mid x_{ij} > 0\}$$

$$\text{subject to} \quad \sum_{j=1}^n x_{ij} \leq ai \quad i = 1, \dots, m$$

$$j = 1$$

$$m$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^m x_{ij} \geq b_j \quad j = 1, \dots, n$$

$$i = 1$$

$$x_{ij} : \text{nonnegative integer} \quad i = 1, \dots, m; j = 1, \dots, n$$

Next , we define the bi - objective vector $v(\mathbf{x})$ of a transportation pattern $\mathbf{x} = (x_{ij})$ feasible for P as

$$v(\mathbf{x}) = (v(\mathbf{x})_1, v(\mathbf{x})_2) = (\max_{i,j} \{m_{ij} + K_\alpha \sigma_{ij} \mid x_{ij} > 0\}, \min_{i,j} \{\mu_{j-i} \mid x_{ij} > 0\})$$

Generally , a transportation pattern optimization problem is to find a feasible solution for the following

exist . Therefore , we seek some non - dom i – n a e – t d t r – a n p – s ortat i – o n p a ttern s th definitio no which is given as follows .

Definition 2 . 1 . Let $\mathbf{x}^a, \mathbf{x}^b$ be two transportation patterns. We say that \mathbf{x}^a dominates \mathbf{x}^b , if $v(\mathbf{x}^a) \leq v(\mathbf{x}^b)$ and $v(\mathbf{x}^a)_1 \geq v(\mathbf{x}^b)_1, v(\mathbf{x}^a)_2 \geq v(\mathbf{x}^b)_2$. If there exists no transportation pattern \mathbf{x} such that $\mathbf{x}^a < \mathbf{x} < \mathbf{x}^b$, then \mathbf{x}^a is called a non-dominated transportation pattern.

3 . SOLUTION PROCEDURE Sorting $\mu_{ij}, i = 1, \dots, m, j = 1, \dots, n$, and let the result be

$$0 < \mu^1 < \dots < \mu^g \leq 1$$

where g is the number of different values of them

Compute $m_{ij} + K_\alpha \sigma_{ij}, i = 1, \dots, m, j = 1, \dots, n$ and arranging these values in ascending order. Let the result be

$$c^1 < \dots < c^l$$

where l is the number of different values of them. Let $\mathbf{C} \triangleq (m_{ij} + K_\alpha \sigma_{ij})_{j=1}^n \times n$

$$c^u, ij^k = \begin{cases} M^0 & \text{otherwise} \\ \text{if } \mu_i - j \geq \mu^u, & m_{ij} + K_\alpha \sigma_{ij} \leq c^k \end{cases} \quad i = 1, \dots, m, j = 1, \dots, n$$

where M is a sufficiently large value.

For $u = 1, \dots, g, k = 1, \dots, l$, denote the cost minimization transportation problem with the above defined cost values as P_u^k :

$$\begin{aligned} P_u^k : \quad & \text{minimize} \quad \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n c^{uij} x_{ij} \\ & \text{subject to} \quad \sum_{j=1}^n x_{ij} \leq a_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, m \\ & \quad \sum_{i=1}^m x_{ij} \geq b_j, \quad j = 1, \dots, n \end{aligned}$$

$$x_{ij} : \text{nonnegative integer} \quad i = 1, \dots, m, j = 1, \dots, n$$

For fixed $u \in \{1, \dots, g\}$ and $k \in \{1, \dots, l\}$, note that P_u^k is a restricted transportation problem, therefore it is not always have a feasible solution. If it exists a feasible solution, then it is unique and it is obtained by using the route (i, j) with $\mu_i - j \geq \mu^u$.

$$m_{ij} + K_\alpha \sigma_{ij} \leq c^k. \quad \mu^u,$$

Denote

$$\begin{aligned} S(u) &= \{(i, j) \mid \mu_{ij} = \mu^u, i = 1, \dots, m, j = 1, \dots, n\} \quad u = 1, \dots, g \\ T(k) &= \{(i, j) \mid m_{ij} + K_\alpha \sigma_{ij} = c^k, i = 1, \dots, m, j = 1, \dots, n\} \quad k = 1, \dots, l \\ p &= \max \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{if } \sum_{r=1}^g S(r) \geq n \\ \text{then } \text{single} \end{array} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

$$q = \min \left\{ \begin{array}{c} t \\ r=1 \end{array} \sum T(r) \geq n \right\}$$

It is obvious that P_u^k is infeasible when $u \in \{p+1, g\}$ or $k \in \{1, \dots, -1\}$

such that each $P_u^{k_u}$ is feasible. If k give the $\text{deno}_{e-ti}^{ri-t-hm.o-tfi}$ $n-d-th-m$ - sallesOtherwise $k_{th} \in$

Remark 3 . 1 .

Remark 3 . 1 . If exists $u_0 \in \{1, \dots, p\}$, such that $P_{u_0}^k$ is infeasible for a n $k \in \{q, \dots, l\}$ then $P_u^k, u = u_0 + 1, \dots, p$ are also infeasible for any $k \in \{q, \dots, l\}$

For each $u \in \{1, \dots, p\}$, we need to find the smallest k_u such that $P_u^{k_u}$ is feasible

The smallest k_u corresponds to the binary vector (c^{k_u}, μ^u) . The main idea is to find the smallest k_u such that $P_u^{k_u}$ is feasible based on a binary method, where k_u is determined by the following steps:

Algorithm (To find the smallest k_u such that $P_u^{k_u}$ is feasible)

Step 1 Set $L = \min\{k_u^q, k_u^L\}$ and $U = L + 1$. Check whether $P_u^{L_1}$ is feasible. If not, set $L = L + 1$ and repeat until P_u^L is feasible.

If feasible, go to Step 2. Otherwise, set $L = K$ and repeat until P_u^K is feasible.

Step 2 When $U - L > 1$, set $K = \lfloor (L + U)/2 \rfloor$ and check whether P_u^K is feasible. If not, set $L = K + 1$ and repeat until P_u^K is feasible. If $L = K$, set $U = K$ and repeat until P_u^K is feasible.

and repeat Step 2. Otherwise, set $L = K$ and repeat until P_u^K is feasible. If $L = K$, go to Step 3.

Step 3 If P_u^L is feasible, set $k_u = L$. Otherwise, set $k_u = K$.

For $P_u^k, u = 2, \dots, p$, the algorithm is the same. Similarly, we can find k_u for all u . Now we first set $L = k_{u-1}$.

Denote $A = \{(u, k_u) \mid k_u \text{ exists}, u = 1, \dots, p\}$. If there exist (u_1, k_{u_1}) and (u_2, k_{u_2})

$\in A$ such that $u_1 \neq u_2$ but $k_{u_1} = k_{u_2}$, then delete (u_1, k_{u_1}) and (u_2, k_{u_2}) from A . Let obtained set after deletion be B . Note that all elements in B have different first components and also different second components.

For all $(u, k_u) \in B$, solve problem $P_u^{k_u}$'s and let $d_n - e$ be the total transport cost.

patterns by $u_x^{k_u}$'s. Then we find a set of some non hyphenated $d_n - e$ transportation patterns and that of the corresponding binary vector of $p - r - o - b - P$ denote $d - e - b - NDT$ and NDV respectively.

The validity of our solution procedure is shown in the following proposition.

Proposition 3 . 2 . The solution procedure for P is valid.

method. For each $(u, k) \in A$, for each feasible P_u^k , we have algorithm to find $t-h_e$ $k_u \in A_{\text{smallest}}^{(u-parenleftk_u)} \cap P_u^k$ and feasible i_k^B such that i_k^B is feasible.

$i \setminus j$	1	2	3	a
1	$N(3, 0.5^2)$	$N(70\text{period-four}^2)$	$N(4\text{two-period}^2)$	5
2	$N(6, 0.8^2)$	$N(50\text{period-three}^2)$	$N(1, 0\text{seven-period}^2)$	8
3	$N(7, 0.3^2)$	$N(40\text{period-six}^2)$	$N(8, 1\text{zero-period}^2)$	3
	b_j	60	35	55
				-

Tab . 1 The values of ai , jb and $t - h_e$ distr b - i ut i - o n of tij pattern u_x^{ku} of problem P_u^{ku} is a non - dom i - n a e - t d t - r a n p - s or tat i - o n p atte n - r o fprobl e - m P

(c^{ku}, μ^u) is the corresponding biob j - e c t v - i e vec to r $t - h_{@}$ is $NDT = \{\mathbf{x}^k u \mid (u, ku \in B)\}$ $NDV = \{(c^{ku}, \mu^u) \mid (u, ku) \in B\}$. There f - o re , our s olut o - i n pr oc e - d ur i vali d \square Next we show the time complex i - t_y of our s olut o - i n pr oc e - d ur fo P

Theorem 3 . 3 . The time complex i - t_y of our s olut o - i n pr oc e - dur fo P i

$$O(mn(m+n)^3l - og(m+n))$$

P r o o f . Note that $g = l = O(mn)$, so so rt i - n g μij and $mij + K_\alpha \sigma ij$ bo h - t take a

mostfindthe $O(mn\log(mn))$ operations $\{\cdot_{q,\dots,l}\}$ suchFor that $k^u_{\text{each}_P u} t - h_{\text{ife}}^e$ tmeasi bl comp folo - llexi $^{t-y}_w$ frm - o of th algorit $^{h-m}_{\text{fac}_e}$ tha t

binary search over l values has time comp l - e xi y - t O (l - o g l) and ea h - c feasibilit check ing takes O (mn) because at most O (mn) e l - e men t h - s ou l - d b checked S fo eac u

checking@most totally needs $O(g)$ times $O^{mn \log(mn)}_{\text{to find the smaill-eat-s}} k \in^{\text{tat i-onal}}_{\{q\}}$ tm - i, l - braceright esu The h - ct - ha algori $^{h-tm}_{P_u^k i}$ feai i_s exe

checking totally needs O ((mn)² log (mn)) So lv i - n g e c - a h feasibl classica transportatio problem takes at most O ((m + n)³l - o g (m + n) (se [2] a d - n e total l - y at m os O m - parenleft n

classical transportation problems should be s o l - v e d e h - t erefor thi p ar take a mos O (mn(m + n)³ log (m + n)) computa t i - o nal tm es Co ns q - e uently th tim complexit i O (max {(mn)² log (mn), mn(m + n)³l - o g (m + n)}) = O (mn(m + n) 3 log m - parenleft + n)) \square

4 . NUMERICAL EXAMPLE

Consider problem TP with $\alpha = 0.9987$, $tij \sim N(m_{ij}, \sigma_{ij}^2)$ a n - d th v alue o a_i b_j ar given in Table 1 . The preference of rout e - s a e - r g i - vn - e i - n th fol o - 1 w i - n g m atr s i x

$$\mathbf{U} = \begin{pmatrix} 0.5 & 0\text{eight-period} & 0\text{four-period} \\ 0.75 & 0\text{period-six} & 0\text{period-seven} \\ 0.85 & 1 & 0\text{six-period} \end{pmatrix}$$

964 Y G E M C H - E N A D - N H . ISHI Our problem TP reduces to prob l - e m P :

$$P : \begin{aligned} & \text{minimize} \quad \max_{i,j} \{m_{ij} + 3\text{period-zero } \sigma_{ij} \mid x_{ij} > 0\} \\ & \text{maximize} \quad m_i^{\frac{i-n}{3}} j \{ \mu_{ij} \mid x_{ij} > 0\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{subjectto} \quad \sum_{j=1}^3 x_{ij} \leq a_i \quad i = 1, 2, 3$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^3 x_{ij} \geq b_j \quad j = 1, 2, 3$$

x_{ij} nonn e - g a tiv e $ij, = 1, 2, 3$ Sorting $\mu_{ij}, i, j = 1, 2, 3$, we obtain

$$0 < \mu^1 = 0.4 < \mu^2 = 0.5 < \mu^3 = 0\text{period-six} < \mu^4 = 0\text{seven-period} < \mu^5 = 0.7 < \mu^6 = 0.8 < \mu^7 = 0\text{period-eight} < \mu^8 = 1$$

Compute $m_{ij} + 3.0\sigma_{ij}, i, j = 1, 2, 3$, we o bta i n

$$\mathbf{C} = \begin{pmatrix} 4\text{period-five} & 8\text{period-two} & 7\text{six-period} \\ 8\text{four-period} & 5\text{nine-period} & 3\text{period-one} \\ 7\text{zero-period} & 5\text{period-eight} & 11\text{zero-period} \end{pmatrix}$$

Arrange these values in ascending order that i s

$$\begin{aligned} c^1 = 3.1 < c^2 = 4.5 < c^3 = 5\text{period-eight} < c^4 = 5\text{nine-period} < c^5 = 7\text{period-six} < c^6 = 7. \\ & < c^7 = 8.2 < c^8 = 8\text{period-four} < c^9 = 11.0 \\ \text{For} u = 1, \dots, 8, k = 1, \dots, 9, \text{set} \\ c^{u,k} ij = \{ M^0 \text{ otherw}_{s-i}^{\text{if } \mu_{ij} - j \geq \mu_e^u} m_{ij} + 3\text{period-zero } \sigma_{ij} \leq c^k \mid ij, = 1, 2, 3 \end{aligned}$$

where M is a sufficiently large value .

It is obvious that $p = 6, q = 3$.

For $u = 1, \dots, 6, k = 3, \dots, 9$, prob l - e m P_u^k has $h - t_e$ fol l - o w n - i g fo r - m

$$P_u^k : \begin{aligned} & \text{minimize} \quad \sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{j=1}^3 c u i j^{comma-k} x_{ij} \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{subjectto} \quad \sum_{j=1}^3 x_{ij} \leq a_i \quad i = 1, 2, 3$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^3 x_{ij} \geq b_j \quad j = 1, 2, 3$$

x_{ij} nonn e - g a tiv e $ij, = 1, 2, 3$

Next we give the solution procedure for p r - o b e - l m P

Find the smallest $k \in \{3, \dots, 9\}$ **such that** P_1^k **i - s f a - e sible Step 1.** Set $L = 3$ and P_1^3 is infea sib l - e. Set $U = 9$ and P_1^9 i feasil e G t Ste 2 **Step 2.** $U - L = 6 \neq 1$. Set $K = 6$ and P_1^6 i - s fea sibl e S e $U = 6$ repea Ste 2 **Step 2.** $U - L = 3 \neq 1$. Set $K = 4$ and P_1^4 i - sn - if - e a sibl e Se $L = 4$ repea Ste 2 **Step 2.** $U - L = 2 \neq 1$. Set $K = 5$ and P_1^5 i - sn - if - e a sibl e Se $L = 5$ repea Ste 2

Step 2. $U - L = 1$, so go to Step 3 .

Step 3. P_1^5 is infeasible , so set $k_1 = 6$. **Find the smallest** $k \in \{6, \dots, 9\}$ **such that** P_2^k **i - s f a - e sible Step 1.** Set $L = 6$ and P_2^6 is feasib l - e. Set $k_2 = 6$ **Find the smallest** $k \in \{6, \dots, 9\}$ **such that** P_3^k **i - s f a - e sible Step 1.** Set $L = 6$ and P_3^6 is infea sib l - e. Set $U = 9$ and P_3^9 i feasil e G t Ste 2 **Step 2.** $U - L = 3 \neq 1$. Set $K = 7$ and P_3^7 i - sn - if - e a sibl e Se $L = 7$ repea Ste 2 **Step 2.** $U - L = 2 \neq 1$. Set $K = 8$ and P_3^8 i - s fea sibl e S e $U = 8$ repea Ste 2

Step 2. $U - L = 1$, so go to Step 3 . **Step 3.** P_3^7 is infeasible , so set $k_3 = 8$. **Find the smallest** $k \in \{8, 9\}$ **such that** P_4^k **i - s fea sibl e Step 1.** Set $L = 8$ and P_4^8 is feasib l - e. Set $k_4 = 8$ **Find the smallest** $k \in \{8, 9\}$ **such that** P_5^k **i - s feasible**

existsno $\text{for } P_6^k$. Set $kL = \{8, 9\}$ and }such P_5^8 that isinfeasib P_5^k i - se - 1. feaSet $U_{\text{si-bleF}} = 90 - r_m$ and $R_{\text{rema}}P_5^9$ ir - kinfeasibl 3.1 suh-

Therefore $B = \{(2, 6), (4, 8)\}$. So l - v e P_2^6 and P_4^8 we o bta n - i th o p t i - m a transportatio patterns 2_x^6 and 4_x^8 , respectively :

$$\begin{aligned} 2_x^6 : \quad & x_{11} = 50, \quad x_{22} = 15, \quad x_{23} = 55, \quad x_{31} = 1 \quad 0 \quad x_{32} = 2 \quad 0 \quad \text{othe } x_{ij} = 0 \\ 4_x^8 : \quad & x_{12} = 35, \quad x_{21} = 30, \quad x_{23} = 55 \quad x_{31} = 3 \quad 0 \quad \text{othe } x_{ij} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

which are the non - dominated transporta t i - o n pa t e - t r n of p r - o blm P a d - n th correspond ing bi - objective vectors are (7 . 9 , 0 . 5) and (8period - four, 0.7) respectively T ,ha i s

$$\begin{aligned} NDT &= \{\mathbf{x}_2^6 \mathbf{x}_4^8\} \\ NDV &= \{(7\text{period} - nine0.5) \quad (8.4, 0.7)\} \end{aligned}$$

5 . CONCLUSION

In this paper , we have considered a bi - c riter i - a cha c - n e c - o nstrain d - e bottleneck trans portation problem with preference of rout e - s and de ve o - l p e - d an a lgori h - t m t fin som non - dominated transportation pat t - e rns . Fur h - t e r we ha v s - hw - o n th validit an tim complexity of the algorithm . Be si des our a l - g o ri h - t m i f e illusrat e - d u sin a numerica

example . As a further research prob l - e m , we shou d - l c onside th flexibilit yo suppl an demand quantity , which is the case that the tota qua nti t - y frm supplie fi les y tha that to demand customers . Th i - s case mak s - e t - h_e p o - r b l - e m thre criter a - i on an we ar now attacking this case . Addit i - o na lly the e - r rema i - n ma y - n o the v ariant o bottleneck transportation problem to be considered and s o l - v ed

(Receive Augus 3 0 2 0 1 1

RE F E RE N C E S

[1] A . S . Adeyefa and M . K . Luhan jd u la Mu lt i - ob^{e-j} ctiv sto c - h asti linea progr^{m-a} ming a overview . Amer . J . Oper . Res 1 (2 1 1) 2 0 3 - 2 1 3

[2] R . K . Ahuja , J . B . Or li n , and R E . Ta r^{j-a} n Imp o - r v e - d t m - i e b o - u n d fo rth emaxim m - u flo - w problem . SIAM J . Comput . 18(1989)939endash-nine5 4

[3] M . Branda and J . Dupa č ov á : Approxima t o - i ns a n - d c on a - t m i - n ati n - o bound so rprobabilisti programs . Ann . Oper . Res . 1 9 3 (2 0 1 2) 3 - 1 9

[4] A . Charnes and W . W . Coope : r The s t - e p p n - i g s t - o n m e h - to - d o f x - e plainin glinea rprogr^{a-m} ming calculations in transporta t i - o n p o - r b l - e m s Ma a - n gm en Sci 1 (1954) 49 - 6 9

[5] M . H . Chen , H . Ishii and C . X . Wu Transp ortat i - o n p o - r blem s o - n a fuzz ynetwork . Internat J . Innov . Comput . Inform . Con t - r ol 4 (2 0 0 8) 1 1 5 - 1 zero - one9

[6] G . B . Dantzig : App il cation of the simp l - e x me h - t od t - o a t a - r nsportatio nproblem . In Activ ity Analysis of Produc ti on and A l l - o ca t o - i n Cha pte 2 3 Co wle C o - m m issio Monograp 1 3 . Wiley , New York 1 9 5 1 .

[7] L . R . Ford , Jr . and D . R . Fulk e - r son So lv n - i g th t r - a n p - s ortati o - n problem Managemen Sci . 3 (1 9 5 6) , 2 4 - 3 2 .

[8] R . S . Garfinkel and M . R . Rao : The bo tt l - e ne k - c t r - a n p - s ortati o - n p roble . Naval Res . Logis t Quart . 1 8 (1 9 7 1) , 4 6 5 - 4 7 2 .

[9] Y . Ge and H . Ishii : Stochas t i - c bo tt e l n e - c k t a - r n s - p o rtat o - i n probl e - m w it flexibl suppl and demand quantity . Kybernet i - k_a47(2011) 45zero - six - 57 1

[1 0] S . Geetha and K . P . K . N a i - r : A s o - t cha st i - c bo ttl n - e e c - k t a - r nsportatio nproblem J Oper Res . Soc . 4 5 (1 9 9 4) , 5 8 3 - 5 8 8

[1 1] P . L . Hammer : Time minimizing transpo rtat i - o n p o - r blem Naval Re s Logist Quar t 1 (1 9 6 9) , 3 4 5 - 3 5 7 .

[1 2] F . L . Hitchcock : The d i - s tribu t o - i_n of a produ c fr - o m severa o - s urce st onumerou slocalities J . Math . Phys . 2 0 (1 9 4 1) , 2 2 4 - 2 3 0

[1 3] P . Kall and S . W . Wallace : Stochas t i - c Prog a - r m m i - n g J h - o_n Wile an Sons N e - w Yor 1 9 9 4 .

[1 4] J . Munkres : Algorithms for the as s i - g nme n a d - n t r - a n p - s ortati o - n p roblems J . So c . Industr Appl . Math . 5 (1 9 5 7) , 3 2 - 3 8 .

[1 5] A . Pr é kopa : Probabi lis t c - i programm i - n g I n Sto h - c_asti P r - o g a - r mm in (A Ruszczynsk and A . Shapiro , eds period - parenright , Handbook n - i Operat i - o n Resear h - c a n - d M anagemen Scienc 1 (2 0 0 3) , Elsevier , Amsterdam , pp 2 6 7 - 3 5 2

[1 6] R . Russell , D . Klingman , and P Pa r t - o w N - hyphen_a vi d An effi cien approac t bottleneck transportation problem . Naval Re s Lo gis t Qu ar t 3 0 (one - nine83) 1 3 - 3 5

- Chance constrained bottleneck transportation problem — a metric approach
 [17] V . Srinivasan and G . L . Thompson An optimal ratio theory of partial assignment metric
 program [18] min fo the transportation — I . Naval Research Logistics Quarterly (1972) 205 – 226
 V . Srinivasan and G . L . Thompson A single origin-hub minimization total cost
 bottleneck shipment in transportation problem — II — methods Naval Research Logistics Quarterly
 three — two (1976) 567 – 595 .
 [19] W . Szwarc : Some remarks on the time transportation problem Naval Research Logistics Quarterly
 18 (1971), 473 – 485 .
Yue Ge, Department of Mathematics, Harbin Institute of Technology, Harbin 150001, P . R . China
 e - mail : gyue_2001@hotmail.com
Minghao Chen, Department of Mathematics, Harbin Institute of Technology, Harbin 150001, P . R . China
 e - mail : chenmh_130264@yahoo.com.cn
Hiroaki Ishii, School of Science and Technology, Kwansei Gakuin University, Sanda, Hyogo 669 - 1337, Japan.
 e - mail : ishiihiroaki @ kwansei.ac.jp