59 (2007), 65-73 originalninauqniresearch_{paper} rad

SOME SUBCLASSES OF CLOSE - TO - CONVEX AND QUASI - CONVEX FUNCTIONS

Zhi - Gang Wang

Abstract. In the present paper , the author introduce two new subclasses $s_{\mathcal{S}}^{(k)}{}_{c}(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)$ of close - to - convex functions and $s_{\mathcal{C}}^{(k)}{}_{c}(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)$ of quasi - convex functions with respect to 2k- symmetric conjugate points . The coefficient inequalities and integral representations for functions belonging to these classes are provided , the inclusion relationships and convolution conditions for these classes are also provided .

1. Introduction

Let \mathcal{A} denote the class of functions of the form

$$f(z) = z + \sum a_n z^n,$$

$$n = 2$$
(1.1)

which are analytic in the open unit disk $\mathcal{U} = \{z \in \mathbf{C} : |z| < 1\}$. Let $\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{S}^*, \mathcal{K}, \mathcal{C}$ and \mathcal{C}^* denote the familiar subclasses of \mathcal{A} consisting of functions which are univalent, starlike, convex, close - to - convex and quasi - convex in \mathcal{U} , respectively (see, for details, [2, 4, 6, 7, 8].

Al - Amiri , Coman and Mocanu [1] once introduced and investigated a class $s_{\mathcal{S}}^{(k)}{}_{c}$ of functions st arlike with respect to 2k- symmetric conjugate points , which satisfy the following inequality

$$\Re\left\{\begin{array}{c} zf'(z) \\ f2k(z) \end{array}\right\} > 0 \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}),$$

where $k \geq 2$ is a fixed positive integer and f2k(z) is defined by the following equality

$$f2k(z) = 2^{1}k \sum_{k=1}^{\nu=0} [\varepsilon^{-\nu} f(\varepsilon^{\nu} z) + \varepsilon^{\nu} f(\varepsilon^{\nu} z)] \quad (\varepsilon = \exp(2\pi i/k); \quad z \in \mathcal{U}).$$
 (1.2)

In the present paper , we introduce and investigate the following two more generalized subclasses $s^{(k)}_{\mathcal{S}}{}_c(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)$ and $\mathcal{C}^{(k)}_{sc}(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)$ of \mathcal{A} with respect to 2k- symmetric conjugate points , and obtain some interesting results .

AMS Subject Classification : 30 C 45 .

Keywords and phrases: Close - to - convex functions, quasi - convex functions, differential sub-ordination, Hadamard product, 2k— symmetric conjugate points.

Zhi - Gang Wang

DEFINITION 1. Let $s_{S}^{(k)}{}_{c}(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)$ denote the class of functions f(z) in \mathcal{A} satisfying the following inequality

$$\begin{vmatrix} zf'f_{2k(z)}^{(z)} & -1 \\ \beta_{f2k(z)}^{zf'(z)} + (1 - \gamma) \end{vmatrix} < 1 - \alpha, \tag{1.3}$$

where $0 \leq \alpha < 1, 0 \leq \beta \leq 1, 0 \leq \gamma < 1$ and f2k(z) is defined by equality (1.2). And a function $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$ is in the class $\mathcal{C}^{(k)}_{sc}(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)$ if and only if $zf'(z) \in s^{(k)}_{\mathcal{S}}{}_{c}(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)$.

Note that $s^{(k)}_{\mathcal{S}}{}_{c}(0,1,0) = s^{(k)}_{\mathcal{S}}{}_{c}$, so the class $s^{(k)}_{\mathcal{S}}{}_{c}(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)$ is a generalization of

the class $s_{S}^{(k)}$

In our proposed investigation of the classes $s_S^{(k)}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ and $C_{sc}^{(k)}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$, we shall also make use of the following lemmas.

[3] Let $H(z) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} h_n z^n$ be analytic in $\mathcal{U}, 0 \leq \alpha < 1, 0 \leq \alpha$ Lemma 1 . $\beta \leq 1$ and $0 \leq \gamma < 1$, then the inequality

$$\left| \begin{array}{c} H(z) - 1 \\ \beta H(z) + (1 - \gamma) \end{array} \right| < 1 - \alpha \quad (z \in \mathcal{U})$$

can be written as

$$H(z) \prec 1 + 1(-1 - ({}_{1}\alpha_{-})({}_{\alpha)}^{1-}{}_{\beta z}\gamma)z \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}),$$

 $\begin{array}{lll} w & h-quoted blright_{es-re-t} & \text{``} & n_{bracketright-d-parentight} \ sT & J-or-slash_{Ft-one}zero-h_{e-suppress-nine}nine-u-period \ s \ six-ua-suppress-Tl-f_{s-suppress-three}period-b-two \ o \ r-five suppress-zero-di-suppress-Tn-Dt-bracket left-aparen left-i_{OE-on}. \end{array}$

2.
$$L-e \ t \ 0 \le \alpha < ,_1 0 \le \beta \le \frac{1}{a} \ nd_0 \le \gamma < 1, h \ n \ w \ e \quad hav \ e$$

$$\beta, \gamma) \subset \mathcal{C} \subset .$$

Supp o ethat $fz \in S(c)$ $\alpha \in \beta, \gamma$, by Le m ma 1, w - e no wt h t - a t e $n (1.3) c n^s b$ e wr $i - te - t_n$ as

$$f2k(z) \prec 1 + 1(-1 - ({}_{1}\alpha_{-})({}_{\alpha)}^{1}{}_{\beta z}\gamma)z \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}).$$
 (1.4)

Thus we have

$$\Re\left\{\begin{array}{c} zf'(z)\\ f2k(z) \end{array}\right\} > 0 \quad (z \in \mathcal{U})$$
 (1.5)

since

$$\Re\left\{\begin{array}{c} 1+(1-\alpha)(1-\gamma)z\\ 1-(1-\alpha)\beta z \end{array}\right\}>0\quad (z\in\mathcal{U}).$$

Now it suffices to show that $f2k(z) \in \mathcal{S}^* \subset \mathcal{S}$. Substituting z by $\varepsilon^{\mu}z$ 0, 1, 2, ..., k-1) in (1.5), then (1.5) is also true, that is,

$$\Re\left\{\begin{array}{c} \varepsilon^{\mu}zf'(\varepsilon^{\mu}z)\\ f2k(\varepsilon^{\mu}z) \end{array}\right\} > 0 \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}). \tag{1.6}$$

67 From inequality (1.6 Some subclasses of close - to - convex and quasi - convex functions), we have

$$\Re\left\{\begin{array}{c} \varepsilon^{\mu}zf'(\varepsilon^{\mu}z)\\ f2k(\varepsilon^{\mu}z) \end{array}\right\} > 0 \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}). \tag{1.7}$$

Note that $f2k(\varepsilon^{\mu}z) = \varepsilon^{\mu}f2k(z)$ and $f2k(\varepsilon^{\mu}z) = \varepsilon^{-\mu}f2k(z)$, then inequalities (1.6) and (1.7) can be written as

$$\Re\left\{\begin{array}{c} zf'(\varepsilon^{\mu}z)\\ f2k(z) \end{array}\right\} > 0 \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}), \tag{1.8}$$

and

$$\Re\left\{\begin{array}{c} zf'(\varepsilon^{\mu}z)\\ f2k(z) \end{array}\right\} > 0 \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}). \tag{1.9}$$

Summing inequalities (1.8) and (1.9), we can get

 $\Re braceleftmid-braceleftbtz(f'(\varepsilon\mu z)f_{2k(z)}^+f'(\varepsilon^\mu z))bracerightbt-bracerightmid>0 \quad (z\in\mathcal{U}).$

Letting $\mu = 0, 1, 2, ..., k-1$ in (1.10), respectively, and summing them we can get

 $\Re brace ex-brace ex$

or equivalently,

$$\Re \left\{ \begin{array}{l} zf'_{2k}(z) \\ f2k(z) \end{array} \right\} > 0 \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}),$$

This means that $s_{\mathcal{S}}^{(k)}{}_{c}(\alpha,\beta,\gamma) \subset \mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{S}$, hence the proof that is $f2k(z) \in \mathcal{S}^* \subset \mathcal{S}$. of Lemma 2 is complete.

Similarly , for the class $C_{sc}^{(k)}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$, we have Let $0 \le \alpha < 1$, $0 \le \beta \le 1$ and $0 \le \gamma < 1$, then we have Lemma 3.

$$\mathcal{C}_{cc}^{(k)}(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)\subset\mathcal{C}^*\subset\mathcal{C}.$$

[5] Let $-1 \le B_2 \le B_1 \le A_1 \le A_2 \le 1$, then we have

$$1^1 + {}^+A_{B_1z}^{1^z} \prec 1^1 + {}^+A_{B_2z}^{2^z}.$$

In the present paper , we shall provide the coefficient inequalities and integral representations for functions belonging to the classes $s^{(k)}_{\mathcal{S}}{}_c(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)$ and $\mathcal{C}^{(k)}_{sc}(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)$, we shall also provide the inclusion relationships and convolution conditions for these classes .

2 . Inclusion relationships

classes $s_{\mathcal{S}}^{(k)}{}_{c}(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)$ give some inclusion relationships for the We first

$$C_{sc}^{(k)}(\alpha,\beta,\gamma).$$

Let $0 \le \beta 2 \le \beta 1 \le 1$, $0 \le \alpha_1 \le \alpha_2 < 1$ and $0 \le \gamma 1 \le \gamma 2 < 1$, Theorem 1. then we have

$$s_{\mathcal{S}}^{(k)}{}_{c}(\alpha_{2},\beta_{2},\gamma_{2}) \subset s_{\mathcal{S}}^{(k)}{}_{c}(\alpha_{1},\beta_{1},\gamma_{1}).$$

Proof. Suppose that $f(z) \in s_{S}^{(k)}{}_{c}(\alpha_{2}, \beta_{2}, \gamma_{2})$, by (1.4), we have

$$f_{2k(z)}^{zf'(z)} \quad \prec 1 + 1(-1 - ({}_{1}\alpha_{-}^{2})_{\alpha_{2}}^{(1-\gamma_{2})z})^{z}$$

Since $0 \le \alpha_1 \le \alpha_2 < 1$, $0 \le \beta 2 \le \beta 1 \le 1$ and $0 \le \gamma 1 \le \gamma 2 < 1$, then we have

$$-1 \le -(1 - \alpha_1)\beta 1 \le -(1 - \alpha_2)\beta 2 < (1 - \alpha_2)(1 - \gamma 2) \le (1 - \alpha_1)(1 - \gamma 1) \le 1.$$

Thus, by Lemma 4, we have

$$f^{zf'(z)}_{2k(z)} \quad \prec 1 + 1(-1 - ({}_{1}\alpha_{-}^{2}){}_{\alpha_{2}}^{(1-\gamma_{2})z} {}_{z} \prec 1 + 1(-1 - ({}_{1}\alpha_{-}^{1}){}_{\alpha_{1})\beta_{1}}^{(1-\gamma_{1})z} {}_{z},$$

that is $f(z) \in s_{\mathcal{S}}^{(k)}{}_c(\alpha_1, \beta 1, \gamma 1)$. This means that $s_{\mathcal{S}}^{(k)}{}_c(\alpha_2, \beta 2, \gamma 2) \subset s_{\mathcal{S}}^{(k)}{}_c(\alpha_1, \beta 1, \gamma 1)$. Similarly, for the class $\mathcal{C}_{sc}^{(k)}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$, we have Corollary 1. Let $0 \leq \beta 2 \leq \beta 1 \leq 1$, $0 \leq \alpha_1 \leq \alpha_2 < 1$ and $0 \leq \gamma 1 \leq \gamma 2 < 1$,

then we have

$$C_{sc}^{(k)}(\alpha_2, \beta_2, \gamma_2) \subset C_{sc}^{(k)}(\alpha_1, \beta_1, \gamma_1).$$

Coefficient inequalities

In this section , we give some coefficient inequalities for functions belonging to

the classes $s_{\mathcal{S}}^{(k)}{}_{c}(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)$ and $\mathcal{C}_{sc}^{(k)}(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)$.

Theorem 2. Let $f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ be analytic in \mathcal{U} , if for

1, $0 \le \beta \le 1$ and $0 \le \gamma < 1$, we have

$$\sim$$

$$\sum n[1 + (1 - \alpha)\beta] \mid a_n \mid + \sum [(1 - \alpha)(1 - \gamma) + 1] \mid \Re(a_{lk+1}) \mid \le (1 - \alpha)(1 + \beta - \gamma), \quad (3.1)$$

$$thenf(z) \in s_{\mathcal{S}}^{(k)}{}_{c}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma).$$

Proof. Suppose that $f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n$, and f(z) is defined by equality (1.2)). We now let M be denoted by

$$M := |zf'(z) - f2k(z)| - (1 - \alpha) |\beta zf'(z) + (1 - \gamma)f2k(z)|$$

$$= \begin{vmatrix} \infty & \infty \\ \sum na_n z^n - \sum \\ n = 2 \end{vmatrix} \Re(a_n)c_n z^n$$

$$-(1 - \alpha)|\beta \begin{pmatrix} \infty \\ z + \sum na_n z^n \\ n = 2 \end{vmatrix} + (1 - \gamma) \begin{pmatrix} \infty \\ z + \sum \\ n = 2 \end{vmatrix} \Re(a_n)c_n z^n$$

$$c_n = k^1 \sum_{k=1}^{\nu=0} \varepsilon^{(n-1)\nu} = \{0^1, \quad n^n = \neq lk^{lk} + 1^1, (\varepsilon = \exp(2\pi i/k); \quad l \in \mathbf{N} = \{1, 2, ...\}).$$
(3.2)

Thus, for |z| = r < 1, we have

$$M \leq \sum (n \mid a_{n} \mid + \mid \Re(a_{n}) \mid c_{n}) r^{n}$$

$$n = 2$$

$$-(1 - \alpha) \left[\begin{array}{c} \infty \\ (1 + \beta - \gamma)r - \sum [n\beta \mid a_{n} \mid + (1 - \gamma) \mid \Re(a_{n}) \mid c_{n}] r^{n} \end{array} \right]$$

$$< \left(\begin{array}{c} \infty \\ \sum \{n[1 + (1 - \alpha)\beta] \mid a_{n} \mid + [(1 - \alpha)(1 - \gamma) + 1] \mid \Re(a_{n}) \mid c_{n}\} \\ n = 2 \end{array} \right)$$

$$< \sum \{n[1 + (1 - \alpha)\beta] \mid a_{n} \mid + [(1 - \alpha)(1 - \gamma) + 1] \mid \Re(a_{n}) \mid c_{n}\} - (1 - \alpha)(1 + \beta - \gamma) \\ n = 2$$

$$= \sum n[1 + (1 - \alpha)\beta] \mid a_{n} \mid + \sum [(1 - \alpha)(1 - \gamma) + 1] \mid \Re(a_{lk+1}) \mid - (1 - \alpha)(1 + \beta - \gamma).$$

$$n = 2 \quad l = 1$$

From inequality (3 . 1) , we know that M<0, thus we can get inequality (1 . 3) , that is $f(z)\in s^{(k)}_{\mathcal{S}}{}_c(\alpha,\beta,\gamma).$ This completes the proof of Theorem 2 .

Similarly, for the class $\mathcal{C}_{sc}^{(k)}(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)$, we have COROLLARY 2. Let $f(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}a_nz^n$ be analytic in \mathcal{U} , if for $0\leq\alpha<1$, $0\leq\beta\leq1$ and $0\leq\gamma<1$, we have

$$\sum n^{2}[1 + (1 - \alpha)\beta] \mid a_{n} \mid + \sum [(1 - \alpha)(1 - \gamma) + 1](lk + 1) \mid \Re(a_{lk+1}) \mid \leq (1 - \alpha)(1 + \beta - \gamma),$$

$$l = 1$$

$$n = 2$$

$$then f(z) \in \mathcal{C}_{sc}^{(k)}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma).$$

4. Integral representations

In this section , we provide the integral representations for functions belonging to the classes $s_{\mathcal{S}}^{(k)}{}_{c}(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)$ and $\mathcal{C}_{sc}^{(k)}(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)$.

Theorem 3 . Let $f(z) \in s^{(k)}_{\mathcal{S}}{}_c(\alpha,\beta,\gamma),$ then we have

$$f2k(z) = z \cdot \exp\{2^{1}k \sum_{k=1}^{\mu=0} \int_{0}^{z} (1-\alpha)(1+\zeta\beta-\gamma) \times$$

$$\times \left[\left[1 - \left(1\omega_{-}(\varepsilon_{\alpha)}^{\mu\zeta} \right)_{\beta\omega(\varepsilon^{\mu}\zeta)} + 1 - \left(1\omega_{-}(\varepsilon_{\alpha)}^{\mu\zeta} \right)_{\beta\omega(\varepsilon^{\mu}\zeta)} \right] d\zeta \right\}, \quad (4.1)$$

where f2k(z) is defined by equality (1.2), $\omega(z)$ is analytic in \mathcal{U} and $\omega(0)=0,$

$$|\omega(z)| < 1.$$

Proof . Suppose that $f(z) \in s^{(k)}_{\mathcal{S}}{}_c(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$, by (1 . 4) , we have

$$f_{2k(z)}^{zf'(z)} = 1 + 1(-1 - ({}_{1}\alpha_{-})({}_{\alpha)}^{(1-\gamma)\omega(z)}{}_{\beta\omega}{}_{(z)}, \tag{4.2}$$

where $\omega(z)$ is analytic in \mathcal{U} and $\omega(0)=0, |\omega(z)|<1$. Substituting z by $\varepsilon^{\mu}z(\mu=0,1,2,...,k-1)$ in (4.2), we have

$$\varepsilon \mu z f' f_{2k(\varepsilon^{\mu}z)}^{(\varepsilon^{\mu}z)} = 1 + 1(-1 - ({}_{1}\alpha_{-})({}_{\alpha)}^{(1-\gamma)\omega(\varepsilon^{\mu}z)}_{(\varepsilon)}_{(\varepsilon^{\mu}z)}. \tag{4.3}$$

From equality (4.3), we have

$$\varepsilon \mu z f_{2k}^{f'(\varepsilon^{\mu}z)}{}_{(\varepsilon^{\mu}z)} = 1 + 1(-1 - ({}_{1}\alpha_{-})({}_{\alpha)}^{(1-\gamma)\omega(\varepsilon^{\mu}z)}{}_{(\varepsilon^{\mu}z)}. \tag{4.4}$$

Summing equalities (4 . 3) and (4 . 4) , and making use of the same method as in Lemma 2 , we have

$$zf_{f2^{2}k}^{\prime}(z) = 2^{1}k \sum_{k=1}^{\mu=0} [{}^{1}+1({}_{-}1-({}_{1}\alpha_{-})({}_{\alpha)}^{(1-\gamma)\omega(\varepsilon^{\mu}z)}{}_{\beta\omega} + 1 + 1({}_{-}1-({}_{1}\alpha_{-})({}_{\alpha)}^{(1-\gamma)\omega(\varepsilon^{\mu}z)}{}_{(\varepsilon^{\mu}z)}],$$

$$(4.5)$$

from equality (4.5), we can get

$$2k_{ff'(z)}^{2k} - 1_z = 2^1 k \sum_{k=1}^{\mu=0} 1_z \{ [1 + 1(-1 - (_1\alpha_-)(_{\alpha}^{1-\gamma)\omega(\varepsilon^{\mu}z)}_{\beta\omega}(\varepsilon^{\mu}z) + 1 + 1(-1 - (_1\alpha_-)(_{\alpha}^{1-\gamma)\omega(\varepsilon^{\mu}z)}_{\beta\omega}(\varepsilon^{\mu}z)] - 2 \}.$$

$$(4.6)$$

Integrating equality (4.6), we have

$$\log \left\{ \begin{array}{c} f2k(z) \\ z \end{array} \right\} = 2^{1}k \sum_{k=1}^{\mu=0} \int_{0}^{z} (1-\alpha)(1+\zeta\beta-\gamma) \times \\ \times \left[{}_{1}-(1\omega_{-}(\varepsilon_{\alpha)}^{\mu\zeta})_{\beta\omega(\varepsilon^{\mu}\zeta)} + 1 - (1\omega_{-}(\varepsilon_{\alpha)}^{\mu\zeta})_{\beta\omega(\varepsilon^{\mu}\zeta)} \right] d\zeta. \tag{4.7}$$

From equality (4 . 7) , we can get equality (4 . 1) easily . This completes the proof of Theorem 3 .

Theorem 4. Let $f(z) \in s_{S}^{(k)}{}_{c}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$, then we have

$$f(z) = \int_0^z \exp\{2^1 k \sum_{k=1}^{\mu=0} \int_0^{\xi} (1-\alpha)(1+\zeta\beta-\gamma) \begin{bmatrix} \omega(\varepsilon^{\mu}\zeta) \\ 1-(1-\alpha)\beta\omega(\varepsilon^{\mu}\zeta) \end{bmatrix} \\ +1 - (1\omega_{-}(\varepsilon_{\alpha}^{\mu\zeta})_{\beta\omega(\varepsilon^{\mu}\zeta)}]d\zeta\} \cdot 1 + 1(-1-(1\alpha_{-})(1-\gamma)\omega(\xi)_{\beta\omega}^{(1-\gamma)\omega(\xi)}(\xi)d\xi,$$
(4.8)

where $\omega(z)$ is analytic in \mathcal{U} and $\omega(0) = 0, |\omega(z)| < 1$.

Some subclasses of close - to - convex and quasi - convex functions 7 1 Proof. Suppose that $f(z) \in s_{\mathcal{S}}^{(k)}{}_{c}(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)$, from equalities (4 . 1) and (4 . 2), we can get

$$f'(z) = f2k_z^{(z)} \cdot 1 + 1(-1 - ({}_1\alpha_-)({}_{\alpha}^{1-\gamma)\omega(z)}_{(\alpha)\beta\omega}^{(z)}(z)$$

$$= \exp\{2^1k \sum_{k=1}^{\mu=0} \int_0^z (1-\alpha)(1+\zeta\beta-\gamma) \begin{bmatrix} \omega(\varepsilon^\mu\zeta) \\ 1-(1-\alpha)\beta\omega(\varepsilon^\mu\zeta) \end{bmatrix}$$

$$+1 - (1\omega_-(\varepsilon_{\alpha)}^{\mu\zeta})_{\beta\omega(\varepsilon^\mu\zeta)}]d\zeta$$

$$+1 - (1-\alpha)\beta\omega(z)$$

$$\cdot 1 - (1-\alpha)\beta\omega(z)$$

Integrating the above equality , we can get equality (4 . 8) easily . Hence the proof of Theorem 4 is complete .

Similarly, for the class $C_{sc}^{(k)}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$, we have COROLLARY 3. Let $f(z) \in C_{sc}^{(k)}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$, then we have

$$\begin{split} f2k(z) &= \int_0^z \exp\{2^1 k \sum_{k=1}^{\mu=0} \int_0^\xi (1-\alpha)(1+\zeta\beta-\gamma) \times \\ &\times \quad [_1 - (1\omega_-(\varepsilon_\alpha^{\mu\zeta)}_{\beta}{}_{\beta\omega(\varepsilon^\mu\zeta)} + 1 - (1\omega_-(\varepsilon_\alpha^{\mu\zeta)}_{\alpha}{}_{\beta\omega(\varepsilon^\mu\zeta)}] d\zeta\} d\xi, \end{split}$$

where f2k(z) is defined by equality (1.2), $\omega(z)$ is analytic in \mathcal{U} and $\omega(0) = 0$,

$$\mid \omega(z) \mid < 1.$$

COROLLARY 4. Let $f(z) \in \mathcal{C}_{sc}^{(k)}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$, then we have

$$\begin{split} f(z) &= \int_0^z \mathbf{1}_t \int_0^t \exp\{2^1 k \sum_{k=1}^{\mu=0} \int_0^\xi (1-\alpha)(1+\zeta\beta-\gamma) \left[\begin{array}{c} \omega(\varepsilon^\mu \zeta) \\ 1-(1-\alpha)\beta\omega(\varepsilon^\mu \zeta) \\ +1-(1\omega_-(\varepsilon_{\alpha)}^{\mu\zeta)}_{\beta\omega(\varepsilon^\mu \zeta)}] d\zeta\} \cdot 1 + 1(-1-({}_1\alpha_-)({}_{\alpha)}^{1-\gamma)\omega(\xi)}_{\beta\omega}_{(\xi)} d\xi dt, \end{array} \right. \end{split}$$

where $\omega(z)$ is analytic in \mathcal{U} and $\omega(0) = 0, |\omega(z)| < 1$.

5. Convolution conditions

Finally, we provide the convolution conditions for the classes $s_{\mathcal{S}}^{(k)}{}_{c}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ and $\mathcal{C}_{sc}^{(k)}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$. Let $f, g \in \mathcal{A}$, where f(z) is given by (1.1) and g(z) is defined by

$$g(z) = z + \sum b_n z^n,$$

$$n = 2$$

then the Hadamard product (or convolution) f * g is defined (as usual) by

$$(f * g)(z) = z + \sum a_n b_n z^n = (g * f)(z).$$

$$n = 2$$

THEOREM 5. A function $f(z) \in s_S^{(k)}{}_c(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ if and only if

$$1_{z} \{ f * \{ (1z_{-}z)^{2} [1 - (1 - \alpha)\beta e^{i\theta}] - 1 + (1 - \alpha)2(1 - \gamma)e^{i\theta}h \}(z)$$

$$-1 + (1 - \alpha)2(1 - \gamma)e^{i\theta} \cdot (f * h)(z) \} \neq 0$$
(5.1)

for all $z \in \mathcal{U}$ and $0 \le \theta < 2\pi$, where h(z) is given by (5.6).

Proof. Suppose that $f(z) \in s_{\mathcal{S}}^{(k)}{}_{c}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$, we know that the condition (1.3) can be written as (1.4), since (1.4) is equivalent to

$$zf'_{f2k(z)}^{(z)} \neq 1 + 1(-1 - ({}_{1}\alpha_{-})({}_{\alpha)}^{(1-\gamma)}e^{i\theta}_{i\theta}$$
 (5.2)

for all $z \in \mathcal{U}$ and $0 \le \theta < 2\pi$. It is easy to know that the condition (5 . 2) can be written as

$$1_z\{[1-(1-\alpha)\beta e^{i\theta}]zf'(z)-[1+(1-\alpha)(1-\gamma)e^{i\theta}]f2k(z)\}\neq 0.$$
 (5.3)

On the other hand, it is well known that

$$zf'(z) = f(z) * (1z_{-}z)^{2}.$$
(5.4)

And from the definition of f2k(z), we know that

$$f2k(z) = 2^{1}[(f*h)(z) + (f*h)(z)], \tag{5.5}$$

where

$$h(z) = k^{1} \sum_{k=1}^{v=0} 1 z_{-\varepsilon^{v}} z.$$
 (5.6)

Substituting (5 . 4) and (5 . 5) into (5 . 3) , we can get (5 . 1) easily . This completes the proof of Theorem 5 .

Similarly, for the class $C_{sc}^{(k)}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$, we have COROLLARY 5. A function $f(z) \in C_{sc}^{(k)}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ if and only if

$$1_z\{f * \{z\{(1z_-z)^2 \quad [1 - (1-\alpha)\beta e^{i\theta}] - 1 + (1-\alpha)2(1-\gamma)e^{i\theta}h\}'\}(z) -1 + (1-\alpha)2(1-\gamma)e^{i\theta} \cdot \quad [f * (zh')](z)\} \neq 0$$

for all $z \in \mathcal{U}$ and $0 \le \theta < 2\pi$, where h(z) is given by (5.6).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS . This work was supported by the Scientific Research Fund of Hunan Provincial Education Department and the Hunan Provincial Natural Science Foundation (No. 5 JJ 300 1 3) of People's Republic of China . The author would like to thank Prof . Chun - Yi Gao and Prof . Yue - Ping Jiang for their support and encouragement .

REFERENCES

1 - 7 .

 $[\ 4\]$ C . - Y . Gao , S . - M . Yuan and H . M . Srivastava , Some functional inequalities and inclusion re

lationships associated with certain families of integral operators , Comput . Math . Appl . **49** (2005), 1787 – 1795. [5] M.-S. Liu, On a subclass of p- valent close - to - convex functions of order β and type α , J. Math .

Study $\bf 30$ (1997), 102-104 . [$\bf 6$] K . I . Noor , On quasi - convex functions and related topics , Internat . J . Math . Math . Sci . $\bf 10$

(1~987) , 241-258 . [${\bf 7}~$] S . Owa , M . Nunokawa , H . Saitoh and H . M . Srivastava , Close - to -convexity , starlikeness , and

convexity of certain analytic functions $\,$, Appl $\,$. Math $\,$. Lett $\,$. **1 5** (2002) , 63 – 69 $\,$. [**8**] H $\,$. M $\,$. Srivastava and S $\,$. Owa (Eds $\,$.) , $\,$ Current Topics in Analytic Function Theory $\,$, World Scientific $\,$, Singapore $\,$, 1 992 $\,$.

(received 6 . 1 2 . 2006)

School of Mathematics and Computing Science , Changsha University of Science and Technology , Changsha , 410076 Hunan , People 's Republic of China .

 $\it E$ - $\it mail$: zhigw^{a-n} g @163. com